APHC010521002026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

[3504]

TUESDAY, THE 6th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28619/2026

Between:

1. SYED NOORULLA, S/O SYED RASOOL, AGED ABOUT 44 YEARS, OCC BUSINESS, R/O D NO. 5-25-27-2, VETERINARY HOSPITAL LINE, SUNDIPENTA, SRISAILAM, KURNOOL DISTRICT.
2. MOGILI VINAY KUMAR,, S/O JANARDHANA RAO, AGED ABOUT 27 YEARS, R/O 10-497-A-1, G V RAMANA STREET, MARKAPURAM PRAKASAM DISTRICT, AP

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, HOME DEPARTMENT, A.P.SECRETARIAT AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.- 522239
2. THE SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KURNOOL DISTRICT, KURNOOL, ANDHRA PRADESH.- 518001 OF 2026
3. THE DEPUTY SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, KURNOOL DISTRICT, KURNOOL, ANDHRA PRADESH.- 518001
4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, KURNOOL III TOWN POLICE STATION, KURNOOL, AP-518001
5. THE SUBINSPECTOR OF POLICE, KURNOOL III TOWN POLICE STATION, KURNOOL, AP-518002
6. PALAKOLANU BALA VENKATA REDDY, S/O VENKATA REDDY, R/O H.NO. LIG TWO 143, A.P.H.B. COLONY, B. CAMP, KURNOOL TOWN AND DISTRICT, AP 518002

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toissue a Writ, Order, or Direction,

particularly one in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 in repeatedly summoning the petitioners to the police station and interfering in purely civil disputes between the petitioners and Respondent No. 5, without registering any crime or following due process of law, as illegal, arbitrary, high-handed, without jurisdiction, and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India, and consequently direct Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 not to interfere with the life and personal liberty of the petitioners

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the 3rd and 4th respondents not to summon the petitioners to the police station or interfere with their life and personal liberty in connection with the civil disputes involving the 5th respondent,

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. TURAGA SAI SURYA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28619 of 2026

The Court made the following Order:

Today, when the matter is taken up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners fairly states that in view of the inadvertent mistake crept in the pleadings (prayer portion) as well as arraying of the parties, sought permission of this Court to withdraw the present Writ Petition, with a liberty to work out legal remedies in accordance with the law.

2. Learned Assistant Government Pleader reported no objection for the same.

3. Permission is accorded.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with a liberty to work out legal remedies in accordance with the law. No order as to costs.

Interlocutory applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 06.10.2026
vnb

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 28619 of 2026

Date: 06.10.2026

vnb