APHC010520882026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 8th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 28381/2026

Between:

1. P. PADMAVATHI, W/O. ANIL KUNNAR REDDY AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE R/O. D.NO. 1/30, SUNKESULA VILLAGE, KADAPA, Y.S.R. DISTRICT.516464

2. K.LAKSHMI DEVI,, W/O. CHINNA ANKI REDDY AGED ABOUT 48 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE R/O. D.NO.4/7, MADDULAPAYA, SIMHADRIPURAM MANDAL, Y.S.R. DISTRICT.516464

3. K.PURUSHTOAM REDDY, , S/O. CHINNA ANKI REDDY, AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.4/7, MADDULAPAYA, SINNHADRIPURAM MANDAL Y.S.R. DISTRICT.516464

4. K.GANGADHAR REDDY,, S/O. RAMA KRISHNA REDDY, AGED ABOUT 42 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.4/29, KASNURU VILLAGE, KASANURU MANDAL Y.S.R. DISTRICT.

5. K.AMARNATH REDDY,, S/O. LAKSHMI REDDY AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.3-959, TADIPATRI TOWN, TADIPATRI MANDAL ANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.515411

6. K.AMARNATH REDDY,, S/O. LAKSHMI REDDY AGED ABOUT 40 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.3-959, TADIPATRI TOWN, TADIPATRI MANDAL ANANTHAPURAM DISTRICT.515411

7. KONA VENKATA RAMANA REDDY,, S/O. RAMAKRISHNA REDDY AGED ABOUT 41 YEARS, OCC41 AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.2-32, KASANUR VILLAGE, KASANUR MANDAL, Y.S.R.DISTRICT.516454

8. KONA PULLAMMA, , W/O. KONA ESWARA REDDY AGED ABOUT 68

YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O. D.NO.2-32, KASANUR VILLAGE, KASANUR MANDAL Y.S.R.DISTRICT.516454

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.522238
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, YS.R DISTRICT, KADAPA.5160012.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, PULIVENDULA REVENUE DIVISION YS.R. KADAPA DISTRICT.516390
4. THE TAHSILDAR, SIMHADRIPURAM MANADAL, YS.R DISTRICT.5164544.
5. C RAJIREDDY, S/O. RAMANA REDDY AGED ABOUT 68 YEARS, OCC UNKNOWN THE PETITIONERS R/O. D.NO.8-2-293/82, PLOT NO.101, NEAR HDFC BANK, BANJARA HILLS, KHAIRATABAD, HYDERABAD, TELANGANA STATE.500034

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toissue an appropriate Writ, Order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent No.4 in attempting to alter/change the web-land entries stand on our name in respect of the land in an extent of Ac.0.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1A, Ac.3.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1B, Ac.9.16 cents in Sy.No.97/4-1, Ac.4.00 cents in Sy.No.97/4- 2, Ac.9.60 cents in Sy.No.98, Ac.7.72 cents in Sy.NO.105/P1, Ac.6.12 cents in Sy.No.121/1, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.139/2A1 Muddalapaya Village, Simhadripuram Mandal, Y.S.R District, in favour of the respondent No.5, without putting us on notice and without adhering to the procedure envisaged under Section 5 of the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 as arbitrary, illegal, colorable exercise of power and contrary to the well established legal principles apart from being violative of the fundamental and the Constitutional rights guaranteed to us under Article 14, 19, 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondent No. 4 not to alter the entries in respect of the land in an extent of

Ac.0.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1A, Ac.3.15 cents in Sy.No.97/IB, Ac.9.16 cents in Sy.No.97/4-1, Ac.4.00 cents in Sy.No.97/4- 2, Ac.9.60 cents in Sy.No.98, Ac.7.72 cents in Sy.NO.105/PI, Ac.6.12 cents in Sy.No.121/1, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.139/2A1 Muddalapaya Village, Simhadripuram Mandal, Y.S.R District

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondent No.4 not to change the entries in respect of the land in an extent of Ac.0.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1 A, Ac.3.15 cents in Sy.No.97/IB, Ac.9.16 cents in Sy.No.97/4-1, Ac.4.00 cents in Sy.No.97/4-2, Ac.9.60 cents in Sy.No.98, Ac.7.72 cents in Sy.NO.105/P1, Ac.6.12 cents in Sy.No.121/1, Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.139/2A1 Muddalapaya Village, Simhadripuram Mandal, Y.S.R District, without adhering to the procedure envisaged under the provisions of the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the rules made thereunder, pending disposal of the above Writ petition

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. V R REDDY KOVVURI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri D.Vigneswara Reddy, learned counsel representing Sri V.R.Reddy Kovvuri, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents 1 to 4. Notice in respect of 5th respondent is dispensed with, since no adverse order affecting his right or interest, is passed.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the 4th respondent is attempting to change the Webland entries stood in their names in respect of lands in an extent of Ac.0.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1A; Ac.3.15 cents in Sy.No.97/1B; Ac.9.16 cents in Sy.No.97/41; Ac.4.00 cents in Sy.No.97/4-2; Ac.9.60 cents in

Sy.No.98; Ac.7.72 cents in Sy.No.105/P1; Ac.6.12 cents in Sy.No.121/1; Ac.5.00 cents in Sy.No.139/2A1 of Muddalapaya Village Simhadripuram Mandal, YSR District, without issuing any notice.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners got the property by way of sale deeds and settlement deeds, which are filed as Ex.P1. The names of petitioners are updated in record of rights. Copies of pattadar pass book and 1-B ROR are filed as Exs.P2 and P3. He would also submit that at the behest of 5th respondent, the 4th respondent is attempting to update the record of rights contrary to Section 5 (3) of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989.

3. Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents 1 to 4, on instructions, would submit that in fact the petitioners made a representation to keep the property referred to supra, in the dispute register, stating that the properties in the above survey numbers are registered to Chintalapati Raja Reddy through auction by SEBI vide document No.465 of 2026, dated 27.07.2026, without their consent. The Tahsildar, submitted a report to the Revenue Divisional Officer, Pulivendula. He would also submit that if any application is filed by 5th respondent or any other individual vis-à-vis the lands referred to supra, regarding acquisition of rights, the authority will follow the procedure under Section 5 of the Act, 1971 and the Rules 1989.

4. In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners confined and urged that a direction may be given to the Tahsildar, to follow due process of law.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the writ petition pending and inviting a counter, will not serve any purpose.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the 4th respondent to

follow due process of law, if any application is made by the 5th respondent or other 3rd party regarding updation of record of rights in respect of aforementioned lands/properties. No costs.

As a sequel, all the pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD