APHC010513652026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3521]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 8642/2026

Between:

MORLA LAVANYA, W/O. SURESH,AGED ABOUT 35 YEARS, OCC HOUSE WIFE R/O. VUYYURAVARI PALEM VILLAGE, NAGARAM MANDAL BAPATLA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH

...PETITIONER/ACCUSED

AND

THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Rep, by the Public Prosecutor,High Court of AP, Amaravathi.

...RESPONDENT/COMPLAINANT

Counsel for the Petitioner/accused:

KALLA TULASI DURGAMBA

Counsel for the Respondent/complainant:

PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The Criminal Petition has been filed under Sections 480 and 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity, 'the BNSS'), seeking to enlarge the petitioner/accused No.1 on bail in Crime No.52 of 2026 of Nagaram Police Station, Bapatla District, registered against the Petitioner/Accused No.1 for the offence punishable under Section 109(1) read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (for brevity 'the BNS').

2. Ms.Kalla Tulasi Durgamba, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent of the alleged offences and has been falsely implicated in the present case. The petitioner is a female. She has not committed any offence. She is a law-abiding citizen. She has got fixed abode. The petitioner undertakes to abide by any conditions that may be imposed by this Court while granting bail. Hence, learned counsel urges that the petition may be allowed.

3. *Per contra,* Mr.A.Sai Rohith, the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that, though the charge sheet has already been filed, the accusations against the petitioner are well founded. Hence, he prayed that the Criminal Petition be dismissed.

4. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Public Prosecutor. Perused the record.

5. As seen from the record, this is the second bail application filed by the petitioner. This Court dismissed the first bail application in Crl.P.No.7858 of 2026, *vide* order dated 16.09.2026. The petitioner/Accused No.1 is the wife of L.W.1, who is the injured person. As per the prosecution case, L.W.1 sustained severe injuries at the hands of the petitioner/Accused No.1. The petitioner was arrested on 25.07.2026 and has been in judicial custody for the past 100 days. After completion of the investigation, the charge sheet has been filed. Though there are changes in the circumstances from the date of dismissal of the first bail application to the filing of the present second bail application, the allegations against the petitioner are serious and are supported by the material collected during the investigation.

6. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the nature and gravity of the allegations levelled against the petitioner/Accused No.1, and the material available on record, particularly the fact that the charge sheet has already been filed, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage. Hence, this Court finds no merit in the Criminal Petition, and the same is liable to be dismissed.

7. In the result, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

As a sequel, Miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, shall stand closed.

_____

DR. Y. LAKSHMANA RAO, J

Date: 02.11.2026
RSI

79

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE Y. LAKSHMANA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION NO: 8642 of 2026

Date: 02.11.2026
RSI