APHC010503622011

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3396]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 2616/2011

Between:

1. CH.MAHALAKSHMI & 3 ORS, W/O. YESU HOUSEWIFE R/O. RAJAHMUNDRY
2. CHILIPI SRINIVASARAO, S/O. YESU STUDENT R/O. RAJAHMUNDRY BEING MINOR REP. BY MOTHER 1ST PETITIONER HEREIN
3. CHILIPI ROJA, D/O. YESU STUDENT R/O. RAJAHMUNDRY BEING MINOR REP. BY MOTHER 1ST PETITIONER HEREIN
4. CHILIPI DURGA DEVI, D/O. YESU STUDENT R/O. RAJAHMUNDRY BEING MINOR REP. BY MOTHER 1ST PETITIONER HEREIN

...APPELLANT(S)

AND

1. RAMPA NAGESWARA RAO 2 ORS, S/O. THRIMURTHULU DRIVER OF JEEP ABJ 8228 GANDHINAGAR, SAMALKOT EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT
2. K ATCHUTAMBA, W/O. K.S.N.MURTHY OWNER OF JEEP AP 7 8228 JANDAPANJA ROAD RAJAHMUNDRY
3. ORIENTAL INSURANCE COMPANY LTD, REP. BY DIVISIONAL OFFICE RAJAHMUNDRY BY ITS DIVISIONAL MANAGER

...RESPONDENT(S):

Appeal filed under Order 41 of CPC before the High Court against the decree and order dated 9.9.2002 made in O.P. NO. 104/98 on the file of

MACT cum-First Additional District Judge, East Godavari at Rajahmundry, for the following among other grounds of Civil Miscellaneous Appeal

IA NO: 1 OF 2003(CMP 5296 OF 2003

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Appellant(S):

1.

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. SAMBOJU BALA GOPAL
2.

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 2616 OF 2011

JUDGMENT:

On the last occasion, this Court observed that the learned counsel on record for the appellants was no more and directed the Registry to issue notices to the appellants through the concerned District Court.

2. The information received from the Principal District Judge, East Godavari District, would show that notices are served on the appellants. However, the appellants are called absent, and there is no representation on their behalf. It appears that the appellants are not evincing any interest in prosecuting the appeal.

3. In that view of the matter, the Motor Accident Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

_____

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 26.10.2026
BMS