APHC010474342011

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3396]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 26th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 2536/2011

Between:

1. DEVALAPALLE SUJATHA & 3 ORS, W/O.LATE HARIKRISHNA R/O.D.NO.17-439-1, MVR STREET, MADANAPALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
2. DEVALAPALLE DEERAJ, S/O.LATE HARIKRISHNA R/O.D.NO.17-439-1, MVR STREET, MADANAPALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
3. DEVALAPALLE MONIKA, D/O.LATE HARIKRISHNA [2&3 BEING MINORS REP BY THEIR MOTHER NATURAL GUARDIAN P1] R/O.D.NO.17-439-1, MVR STREET, MADANAPALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
4. DEVALAPALLE YELLAMMA, W/O.LATE REDDEPPA R/O.D.NO.17-439-1, MVR STREET, MADANAPALLE, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.

...APPELLANT(S)

AND

1. K VENUMADHAVA RAO ANR, S/O.SREENIVASULU CLERK IN LIC OF INDIA R/O.SREEKALAHASTHI, CHITTOOR DISTRICT.
2. THE BRANCH MANAGER, BAJAJ ALLIANZ O/O.SHOP NO.11, 2ND FLOOR, KAC PLAZA, RR STREET, NELLORE.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Appeal filed under Order 41 of CPC before the High Court the Appellant herein begs to present this Memorandum of Motor Accidents Civil Miscellaneous Appeal aggrieved by the Judgment and Decree passed in O.P.

No. 295/2007 on the file of M.A.C.T. (II Additional District Judge), Madanapalle, Chittoor District, dt 24-2-09, for the following among other

IA NO: 1 OF 2010(MACMAMP 2215 OF 2010

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased condone the delay of 180 days in filing the MACMA against the order and decree dated 24.02.2009 passed in OP No.295/2007 on the file of MACT [II Addl.District Judge], Madanapalle, Chittoor District

Counsel for the Appellant(S):

1. A MANOHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. N MOHAN KRISHNA
2. PASALA PONNA RAO

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

MOTOR ACCIDENT CIVIL MISCELLANEOUS APPEAL NO: 2536 OF 2011

JUDGMENT:

On the last occasion, at the request of learned counsel for the appellants, the matter was adjourned to today. However, when the matter is called, there is no representation on behalf of the appellants. It appears that the appellants are not evincing any interest in prosecuting the appeal.

2. In that view of the matter, the Motor Accident Civil Miscellaneous Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

Dr. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date: 26.10.2026
BMS