SS,J
Crl.R.C.No. 355 of 2010
Dated 30.10.2026

APHC010439492010

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3560]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 355 OF 2010

Between:

1. PITTA PREMA KUMARI, W/O SURYA CHANDAR RAO, NEAR PATHA KALUVA, MOGALTHURU, WEST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. SATTINENI KRISHNA MOHAN AND ANOTHER, S/O JAGGA RAO, R/O D.NO. 12-2-28, DANTUVARI STREET, SURYARAOP PETA,
2. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BU ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT OF A.P., AT HYDERABAD.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SINGARAJU MAHASWETHA VARMA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. S SURYA PRAKASA RAO
2. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 355 OF 2010

ORDER:

1. A report has been placed by the learned Additional Public Prosecutor written by Station House Officer, Mogalthur Police Station.

2. It appears from the report that the petitioner is bed ridden, due to which, Bailable Warrant issued by this Court against her could not executed.

3. Heard Sri Singaraju Mahaswetha Varma, learned Counsel for Revision Petitioner, Sri K.K.Durga Prasad, learned Counsel for Respondent No.1 on record; and Sri Panini Somayaji, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for Respondent No.2/State.

4. Instant Criminal Revision Case has been preferred against the Judgment, dated 18.02.2010, in Criminal Appeal No.64 of 2008 passed by learned III Additional District and Sessions Judge, Kakinada, confirming the calendar and judgment in C.C.No.348 of 2003, dated 25.02.2008, passed by learned IV Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Kakinada, wherein and where under the Petitioner was convicted for the offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act and sentenced to undergo Rigorous Imprisonment for a period of four (04) months and to pay a sum of

Rs.50,000/- (Rupees fifty thousand only) towards compensation to the complainant under section 357 of the Criminal Procedure Code.

5. It appears from the settlement document that after filing of this Criminal Revision Case, the matter was settled between the parties on 24.09.2014.

6. Learned Counsel representing Respondent No.1/complainant has also confirmed the fact of settlement and the signature of complainant in the settlement document.

7. Since the matter was settled between parties and as the offence punishable under section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is compoundable in nature, the order of conviction and sentence passed against present petitioner is hereby set aside as compounded.

Petitioner/Accused is acquitted.

Sureties of petitioner/accused, if any, are also released forthwith.

8. Accordingly, the Criminal Revision Case is disposed of.

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

Dated 30.10.2026
KS

123

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 355 OF 2010

Dated:30.10.2026.

KS