APHC010400742026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION NO: 21446 OF 2026

Between:

1. CHOPPARAPU VENKATESWARULU, S/o. Ramaiah Aged about 30 years, R/o. Boyapalem, Kanigiri mandal, prakasam district.523230.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department, Secretariat, Amaravati. Guntur district, A.P. 523230.
2. Superintendent of Police, Prakasam district, Andra Pradesh - 523230.
3. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kanigiri Sub-Division, Andhra Pradesh 523230.
4. Circle Inspector of Police, Kanigiri Circle, Andhra Pradesh 523230.
5. Station House Officer/SubInspector of Police, Kanigiri Police Station, Andhra Pradesh 523230.
6. Thasildhar, , Kanigirimandal, markapuram district, Andrapradesh 523230.
7. Thammineni Bhaskar Reddy, S/o Chinna Venkat reddy, kanigiri mandal, prakasamdistrict.523230
8. Gunupati Nagarjuna Reddy, S/O Venkateswarlu Reddy, Kanigiri Mandal, Prakasam District.523230
9. MuthumulaRamasubba Reddy, S/o Peda Mala Kondaiah, ChinthalaPalem, Kanigiri Mandal Prakasam District.523230.
10. Devi Reddy Sriramulu Reddy, S/o Devireddy Alluri Reddy, 8th Ward Kotha Peta, Kanigiri Mandal, Prakasam District.523230.

...RESPONDENTs

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the respondents in not registering an FIR on the petitioner's representation dated 17.06.2026 against Respondent Nos.5 to 10 despite disclosure of cognizable offences as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and the law declared in Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh, and consequently direct the respondents to immediately register an FIR to entrust investigation to an independent officer/independent agency not subordinate to the accused police officials to initiate departmental

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased direct the respondent to Register FIR on the petitioner's complaint dated 15.06.2026 Preserve CCTV footage, General Diary, Station records, movement registers, call detail records and all connected records restrain Respondent Nos.5 to 10 from interfering with or threatening the petitioner or witnesses and Direct that no coercive action be taken against the petitioner on account of the complaint made by him Direct investigation by an officer not below the rank of Superintendent of Police or any other independent officer

Counsel for the Petitioner: C B ADARSH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE

Counsel for the Respondents: GAJJALA MALLIKARJUNA REDDY

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

WRIT PETITION No: 21446 of 2026

The Court made the following Order:

Instant Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:

> *"to issue a Writ of Mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in not registering an FIR on the petitioner's representation dated 17.06.2026 against Respondent Nos.5 to 10 despite disclosure of cognizable offences as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional and violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and contrary to the provisions of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita and the law declared in Lalita Kumari v. Government of Uttar Pradesh, and consequently direct the respondents to immediately register an FIR to entrust investigation to an independent officer/independent agency not subordinate to the accused police officials to initiate departmental and to pass".*

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home appearing on behalf of Respondent/State and perused the material placed available on record.

3. Conspectus of facts in the present Writ Petition is that, despite the petitioner's complaint to the police personnel, the respondent police are not acting upon the same by registering an FIR, thereby lodging criminal proceedings.

4. Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home, basing on the written instructions of the Respondent/Police, submits that preliminary enquiry of the police reveals that the petitioner's complaint does not disclose commission of any cognizable offence. As such, Writ Petition itself is not maintainable.

5. Now, coming to the central issue in the *lis,* despite the petitioner's complaint, the police are not registering an FIR; the aggrieved party directly initiating proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India or Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 corresponding to Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.

6. In this juncture, it is appropriate to note that the issue involved in the present *lis*, is squarely covered by the Order of this Court dated 23.07.2026 in WP No.18425 of 2026, wherein this Court appreciated the facts and law by taking into consideration of the dictums laid down by the Apex Court including latest expression held in ***Sujal Vishwas Attavar and another vs. State of Maharashtra and others***[1], wherein it was held as under:

> *"7. Keeping in view the above exposition of law, we find that the extraordinary jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India ought not to have been invoked when alternative equally efficacious statutory remedies were available. If a person has a grievance that his FIR has not been registered by the police, or having been registered, proper investigation is not being conducted, then the remedy does not ordinarily lie in invoking the writ jurisdiction in the first instance, but in*

[1] 2026 SCC OnLine SC 798

seeking recourse to the statutory framework, unless of course the urgency of the circumstances warrant otherwise.

8. The Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (erstwhile Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973) provides a structured sequential mechanism for initiating criminal prosecution. The statutory framework contemplates that information relating to the commission of a cognizable offence is first placed before the officer-in-charge of the police station and an FIR is registered under Section 173(1) BNSS. In the event of refusal to register the FIR, recourse lies before the jurisdictional Superintendent of Police under Section 173(4) BNSS and, thereafter, before the Magistrate, under Section 175(3) BNSS.

9. xxxx.

10. xxxx.

11. The High Court is not bound to entertain a writ petition merely because a case of alleged inaction or negligence is made out against a statutory authority. Ordinarily, where a statute provides a complete and efficacious remedy, the same must be exhausted before invoking constitutional jurisdiction [See: Sakiri Vasu (supra) and Sudhir Bhaskarrao Tambe (supra)]. In the present facts, the complainant Company has not exhausted the sequential statutory remedies available under BNSS. There is, therefore, no foundation to invoke the extraordinary jurisdiction of the High Court for the reason that efficacious and efficient alternative remedies exists. Hence, at this stage, we find the instant writ petition to be premature, and, therefore, not fit to be entertained."

7. Accordingly, by applying the above ratio to the present case too, this Writ Petition is disposed of as under:

i) Liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach the learned jurisdictional Magistrate by filing appropriate complaint under Section 175(3) and 210 read with 223 of BNSS, 2023.

ii) This Court has not expressed any opinion on merits and whether or not the complaint discloses criminal offences.

iii) Equally, it will be open to all the stakeholders to avail remedies in terms of the statutory realm."

There shall be no order as to costs.

8. Registry is directed to append a copy of the Order of this Court dated 23.07.2026 in WP No.18425 of 2026 along with the instant order.

Interlocutory applications, if any, pending shall stand closed.

JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

Date: 30.10.2026
KRK

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE MAHESWARA RAO KUNCHEAM

249

WRIT PETITION NO: 21446 of 2026

Date: 30.10.2026

KRK