APHC010389152026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 28th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2737 OF 2026

Between:

1. K. Nagarjuna, S/o K. Venkata Subbaiah, Aged about 36 years, Rio. D. No.3701223, Pragathi Nagar Colony, Ongole, Prakasam District

...Petitioner

AND

1. Sri Mohit Sonakiya, IRSEE, The Divisional Regional Manager, South Central Railways, Vijayawada

...Respondent

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to pleased to punish the Respondents / Contemnorsherein for their willful violation and deliberate disobedience of the Common Order, dt.19-02-2026 in W.P.No.35686/2022 passed by this Hon'ble Court, under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971

Counsel for the Petitioner: SODUM ANVESHA

Counsel for the Respondent Y V ANIL KUMAR (Central Government Counsel)

The Court made the following order:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2737 OF 2026

ORDER:

This contempt case is filed against the respondent for willful disobedience of the orders dated 19.02.2026 passed by this Court in W.P.No.35686 of 2022.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the respondent.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this Court passed an order dated 19.02.2026 in W.P.No.35686 of 2022, which is extracted hereunder:

> *"Having regard to the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondents, this Court is of the considered view that the writ petition can be disposed of directing the petitioner to submit a representation afresh with all the details and along with all the required documents within a period of ten (10) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, upon such representation, the 2nd respondent shall be considered the same and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of such representation."*

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits even though this Court specifically directed the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner as extracted supra, but for the reasons best known to the respondents, the respondents neither complied with the orders of this Court by considering the candidature of the petitioner for compassionate appointment nor issued any other proceedings. Hence, the Contempt Case.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent furnished the proceedings dated 17.08.2026, which were issued in compliance of the orders of this Court, wherein the claim of the petitioner was considered as directed by this Court and communicated the same to the petitioner for submission of NOC as required for appointment under compassionate grounds. Since the petitioner failed to do so, the petitioner's representation was considered and the claim of the petitioner was rejected for non-submission of NOC from other family members.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for both the parties and on perusal of the material placed on record, it is observed that in view of the proceedings dated 17.08.2026, the orders of this Court are complied with and no further orders are necessary. However, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the same or to submit NOC of the other family members to the authorities concerned.

7. With the above observations, the Contempt Case is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in the contempt case shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

28.10.2026
BSP/TPS

06

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

(closed)

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2737 OF 2026

28.10.2026
BSP/TPS