APHC010340952012

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 4th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 37911 OF 2012

Between:

1. Smt Beetina Ramadevi,, W/o K.V.V.S Ram Prasad. Age: 39 years, Occ; House Wife, R/o Chinnabrahmadevam Village, Peddapuram Mandal, East Godavari District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The Additional Assistant Engineer, APEPDCL, Peddapuram Rural, East Godavari District.

2. The Tahildar, Peddapuram Mandal, East Godavari District.

3. The Director, Ground Water Department, Kakinada, East Godavari District.

4. The District Collector, East Godavari District at Kakinada.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a writ or direction preferably Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in issuing impugned proceedings D.No.829/12, dated 02/11/2012 including the letter dated 28/09/2012 addressed by 2nd respondent to 1st respondent as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, against law as well as principles of natural justice and

consequently direct the respondents to not to disconnect the electricity connection of bore-well in Survey No.18/1 at Chinnabrahmadevam Village, Peddapuram Mandal, East Godavari District

IA NO: 1 OF 2012(WPMP 48094 OF 2012

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to stay all further proceedings in impugned proceedings D.No.829/12, dated 02/11/2012 issued by the Ist respondent

IA NO: 1 OF 2013(WVMP 157 OF 2013

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to vacate the interim orders passed on dated 10-12-2012 in W.P.MP.NO. 48094 Of 2012 In W.P.NO.37911 OF 2012

IA NO: 1 OF 2015(WPMP 132833 OF 2015

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased

Counsel for the Petitioner: K B RAMANNA DORA

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR REVENUE (AP) Counsel for the Respondents:V V SATISH (SC for APEPDCL)

The Court made the following order:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 37911 OF 2012

ORDER:-

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of the India seeking the following reliefs:-

> *"to issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in issuing impugned proceedings D.No.829/12, dated 02.11.2012 including the letter dated 28.09.2012 addressed by 2nd respondent to 1st respondent as illegal, arbitrary, discriminatory, against law as well as principles of natural justice and consequently direct the respondents to not to disconnect the electricity connection of bore-well in Survey No.18/1 at Chinnabrahmadevam Village, Peddapuram Mandal, East Godavari District and pass such other order or orders…."*

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents issued impugned proceedings dated 02.11.2012 and a letter dated 28.09.2012 informing that the electricity service connection of the petitioner is going to be disconnected. The said proceedings assailed in the present Writ Petition alleging that the said proceedings are contrary to law. He further submits that after hearing the matter at the stage of admission, this Court was pleased to pass an interim order dated 10.12.2012.

4. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submits that in view of the interim order dated 10.12.2012 passed by this Court, the electricity service connection of the petitioner has been continuing for more than one decade. If

the respondents are intending to take any further action against the petitioner, they will issue fresh notice/proceedings following due process of law.

5. Considering the submissions made by both the learned counsel and on perusal of the material placed on record and in view of the continuation of the electricity service connection of the petitioner without there being any disconnection as alleged by the petitioner, no further orders are necessary. However, if the respondents intend to initiate any action, the respondents are directed to follow due process as contemplated under law. In view of the interim direction of this Court, the impugned order of the respondents cannot be enforced without issuing proceedings afresh.

6. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous applications pending, if any, shall also stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

Date:04.11.2026
TPS

16

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 37911 OF 2012

Date:04.11.2026
TPS