APHC010334262026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 27th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 17707/2026

Between:

1. KARRA VENKATA NARASIMHA, S/O. CHANDRA SEKHAR VIJAY KUMAR, AGED ABOUT 58 YEARS, R/O. FLAT 314, 3RD FLOOR, SPANDANA TOWERS, UPPARA PATH ROAD, TIRUPATHI RURAL, THUMMALAGUNTA, CHITTOOR DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH - 517502

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT BUILDINGS, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT-522237
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, TIRUPATHI (OLD CHITTOOR) DISTRICT-517501.
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, TIRUPATHI, TIRUPATHI (OLD CHITTOOR) DISTRICT-517501.
4. THE TAHSILDAR, TIRUPATHI URBAN MANDAL, TIRUPATHI (OLD CHITTOOR) DISTRICT-517501.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a writ, order or a direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, the action of the 4th Respondent in not conducting due enquiry for mutation of the petitioners name by duly correcting

the Revenue records pertaining the land to an extent of Ac.9.00 cents situated in sy. Nos. 253, 254, 256, 266 and 267 of Tirupati Village, Tirupati Urban Mandal, Tirupathi District in pursuance to the representations dt. 15.05.2026 and 09.01 .2026 of the petitioner's is illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice and Articles 14, 26 and 300A of Constitution of India and consequently direct the 4th Respondent to consider the representations of the petitioner dt. 15 05.2026 and 09.01.2026 thereby correct the entries and mutate the name of the petitioner in the Revenue records and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the respondent No.4 to consider the representations dt.15.05.2026, 09.01.2026 of the petitioner thereby correct the entries and mutate the name of the petitioner in the Revenue records and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. N ANULA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Ms.N.Anula, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the 4th respondent-Tahsildar failed to consider the representation dated 15.05.2026 (Ex.P1) made by the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner owns an extent of Ac.9.00 cents in S.Nos.253, 254, 256, 266 and 267 of Tirupati village, Tirupati Urban Mandal, Tirupati District and the said property is

ancestral. The petitioner made a representation dated 15.05.2026 (Ex.P1) requesting the authority to mutate his name in the Record of Rights; however, the 4th respondent failed to consider the same.

4. Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents, on instructions, would submit that the 4th respondent will consider the petitioner's representation by adhering to the provisions of Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and Rules 18 to 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989.

5. Indeed, directing the authorities to consider the representation is deprecated by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Government of India Vs. P.Venkatesh**[1].

6. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, without touching the merits of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the 4th respondent-Tahsildar to consider the petitioner's representation dated 15.05.2026 (Ex.P1) *vis-à-vis* the land referred to *supra*, and pass appropriate orders, strictly adhering to the provisions of Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the Rules 18 to 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, all pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD

[1] (2019) 8 SCALE 544