APHC010333192025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 27th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 16864/2025

Between:

1. NEELAM VANDANAM, S/O. NEELAM NALLAYYA (LATE) AGED ABOUT 51 YEARS, D.NO.7-19/1, MANDADUM VILLAGE, THULLURU MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY. REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT AT VELAGAPUDI AMARAVATI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE COMMISSIONER, AP CRDA,LENIN CENTRE VIJAYAWADA
3. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, GUNTUR DISTRICT AT GUNTUR.
4. THE JOINT COLLECTOR, GUNTUR DISTRICT AT GUNTUR.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, MANGALAGIRI MANDAL GUNTUR DISTRICT.
6. THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR AND COMPETENT AUTHORITY, CRDA, KURAGALLU UNIT-IV, MANGALAGIRI MANDAL, GUNTUR DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased topleased to issue a Writ, order or direction, especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of the Respondent No. 6 in not considering the petitioner of representation dt. 05-05-2025 and not

incorporate the petitioners name in the Respondents records and not paying the annual lease in respect of Sy.No.278 admeasuring Ac 1.40 cents Kuragallu village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District and not allot returnable Residential and Commercial plots to the petitioner as per power of attorney dated. 22-09-2017 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article Art.14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to incorporate the petitioner's name in the Respondents records and pay annual lease amount to the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.278 admeasuring Ac 1.40 cents Kuragallu village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District and allot returnable 9. Residential and Commercial plots as per power of attorney dated. 22- 09-2017 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the Respondents 6 to consider the Representation of the petitioner dt.05-05-2025 and incorporate the petitioner's name in the Respondents records and not paying the annual lease in respect of Sy.No.278 admeasuring Ac 1.40 cents Kuragaiiu viiiage, Mangalagiri Mandal Guntur District andnot aliot returnable Residential and Commercial plots to the Petitioner as per power of attorney dated, 22-09-2017 pending disposal of the WP and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. B.SUDHAKAR KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE
2. S PRANATHI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

> *"…pleased to issue a Writ order or direction, especially one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the inaction of Respondent No.6 in not considering the petitioner's representation dated 05.05.2025 and not incorporate the petitioner's name in the Respondents records and not paying the annual lease in respect of Sy.No.278 admeasuring Ac 1.40 cents, Kuragallu village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District and not allot returnable Residential and Commercial plots to the petitioner as per power of attorney dated 22.09.2017 as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article Art.14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to incorporate the petitioner's name in the Respondents records and pay annual lease amount to the petitioner in respect of land in Sy.No.278 admeasuring Ac 1.40 cents Kuragallu village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District and allot returnable Residential and Commercial plots as per power of attorney dated 22.09.2017….."*

2. The case of the petitioner is that, originally the land admeasuring Ac.1.40 cents in Sy.No.278 of Kuragallu Village, Mangalagiri Mandal, Guntur District belongs to one Smt. Neelam Sugunamma, who is none other than the mother of the petitioner as per the revenue records. During her lifetime, the petitioner's mother gave her consent for inclusion of the said land in the Land Pooling Scheme implemented by the respondent authorities. The ownership of the petitioner's mother was verified and confirmed by the respondents, and a Power of Attorney was executed on 22.09.2017 in that regard.

3. It is the further case of the petitioner that, since the year 2017, the respondent authorities have been paying the annual lease amount to her mother from time to time. While so, the petitioner's mother died on 25.12.2022. Thereafter, the petitioner submitted a representation before respondent No.5, informing the authorities about the death of his mother and stating that his father had predeceased his mother and that he is the sole legal heir entitled to succeed to her estate, including the subject property. As the said representation was not considered, the petitioner submitted another representation dated 05.05.2025 to all the respondents, requesting them to take necessary action for incorporating his name in the records of the respondent authorities, releasing the annual lease amount and allotting returnable residential and commercial plots in his favour. Since no action was taken by the respondents on the said representation, the petitioner was constrained to approach this Court by filing the present Writ Petition.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

5. During the course of hearing, Ms.S.Pranathi, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the Andhra Pradesh Capital Region Development Authority (CRDA), on instructions, submitted that the petitioner's latest representation dated 05.05.2025 would be considered by respondent No.6 in accordance with law.

6. In view of the aforesaid submission, instead of going into the merits of the case, this Court feels it appropriate to dispose of the Writ Petition by

directing respondent No.6 to consider the petitioner's representation dated 05.05.2025 and pass appropriate orders thereon, in accordance with law, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

7. With the above direction, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

Consequently, all pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Dated: 27.10.2026
KGR/GCD