APHC010312182026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3331]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 27th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 16890/2026

Between:

1. BOLLINENI SAROJA, W/O SUBBARAYUDU, AGED MAJOR, R/O. NMITTAMEEDAPALLI VILLAGE,RAJAMPET MANDAL, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS, PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTRENT, SECRETARIAT,VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATI,GUNTUR DISTRICT. 522 238
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KADAPA TOWN, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.516 001
3. THE REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, RAJAMPETRELENUE DIVISION, RAJAMPET, YSR KADAPA DISTRICT.516 115
4. THE TAHSILDAR, RAJAMPET, RAJAMPET MANDAL, YSR KADAPA'DISTRICT.516 115

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of WRIT OF MANDAMUS aggrieved by the action of the respondents more particularly the action of the 4th respondent without

issuing any otice, without following due procedure of law deleting my name in the online revenue records in respect of land admeasuring to an extent of Ac. 5.00 cents in Sy.No. 1544/9 situated in Rajampet Revenue Village, Rajampet Mandal, YSR Kadapa District is illegal, arbitrary, without jurisdiction, Violative of Principles of Natural Justice, Contrary to the Provisions of AP Rights in Land and Pattadar Passbooks Act, 1971 and Rules made thereunder,Violative of Article 300A of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the 4th respondentto update my name in online revenue records in respect of land to an extent of Ac. 5.00 cents in Sy.No. 1544/9 situated in Rajampet Revenue Village, Rajampet Mandal, YSR Kadapa District by duly taking into consideration of my representation dated 11.05.2026 and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to direct the 4th respondent to update my name in online revenue records in respect of Ind to an extent of Ac. 5.00 cents in Sy.No. 1544/9 situated in Rat mpet Revenue Village, Rajampet Mandal, YSR Kadapa District by dul taking into consideration of my representation' dated 11.05.2026 fortrlwith pending disposal of the main Writ Petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. NAVULURU KRISHNA SAI

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri N. Krishna Sai, learned counsel for the petitioner, and Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue, for the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation dated 11.05.2026 (Ex.P1) made by the petitioner has not been attended to by the 4th respondent-Tahsildar.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that the petitioner was granted DKT Patta No.1368/86, dated 14.06.1976 (Ex.P2) in respect of an extent of Ac.5.00 cents in S.No.1544/9 of Rajampet Revenue Village, Rajampet Mandal, YSR Kadapa District, and the petitioner was also granted a pattadar pass book and title deed. However, the 4th respondent, without issuing any notice, deleted the petitioner's name in the Online Revenue records. He would submit that the petitioner made a representation (Ex.P1) requesting the authority to mutate her name in the Online Revenue records, i.e., adangal and 1B, and to issue an e-pattadar pass book. However, the same has not been considered.

4. Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents, on instructions, would submit that the 4th respondent will consider the petitioner's representation by adhering to the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989.

5. Thus, as seen from the material available on record, the grievance of the petitioner is that the 4th respondent is not considering her representation dated 11.05.2026 (Ex.P1), regarding updation of the record of rights.

6. Indeed, directing the authorities to consider the representation is deprecated by the Hon'ble Apex Court in **Government of India Vs. P.Venkatesh**[1].

[1] (2019) 8 SCALE 544

7. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, without touching the merits of the case, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the 4th respondent-Tahsildar to consider the petitioner's representation dated 11.05.2026 (Ex.P1) *vis-à-vis* the land referred to *supra*, and pass appropriate orders, strictly adhering to the provisions of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, all the pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD