APHC010264682026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2444/2026

Between:

1. SRI LAKSHMI MAHILA SHAKTHI SANGAM,, REP., BY YADLASURYAKUMARI, W/O. NAGESWARA RAO,AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-145/6, MAIN ROAD, TATAPUDIVILLAGE KAPLIESWARAPURAMMANDALAM, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. DEVARAKONDA AKILA, REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER(PREVIOUS RPO) GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT (GAD) A.P. SECRETARIAT, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT
2. R SIVA RAMUDU, REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER (PRESENT RDO) RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM DIVISION, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, RAJAMAHENDRAVARAM.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to pleased to summon and punish the Respondents under Section 10 to 12 of the Contempt Court Act, 1971, r/w Article 215 of the Constitution of India and Contempt of Court (Andhra Pradesh High Court) Rules, 1980 for willful disobedience of the order dated 28.10.2025 passed by this Honble Court In Writ Petition No.27876 of 2025 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. RAJU GUBBALA

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. VENKATA SAI KRISHNA PONNURU

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2444/2026

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is filed against the respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 28.10.2025 passed by this Court in W.P.No.27876 of 2025. The operative portion of the said order is extracted hereunder:

> *"…..writ petition is disposed of directing the 4th respondent to conduct an enquiry on the explanation submitted by the petitioner by duly considering the appointment letter dated 27.12.2006 issued in the name of petitioner sangham and then pass appropriate orders in accordance with law. The entire process shall be completed within a period of four (04) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order....."*

2. Respondent No.2 fled counter-affidavit duly stating that in compliance to the orders passed by this Court on 28.10.2025, the 2nd respondent has conducted enquiry on the explanation submitted by the respondent and passed a reasoned order vide Ref.D/928/2026 dated 04.05.2026 which was refused by the petitioner.

3. A perusal of the counter-affidavit discloses that, pursuant to the directions issued by this Court, the respondents conducted an enquiry into the explanation submitted by the petitioner, considered the appointment letter referred to in the order and passed a reasoned order dated 04.05.2026. Thus, the respondents have reported compliance with the directions issued by this Court.

4. In view of the above, this Court finds that no further orders are required to be passed in the present Contempt Case. Accordingly, the Contempt Case

is closed, leaving it open to the petitioner to challenge the proceedings dated 04.05.2026, if so advised, before the appropriate forum in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Date: 30.10.2026
KA

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 2444/2026

Date: 30.10.2026
KA