APHC010245942026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3331]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE 27th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

WRIT PETITION NO: 14297/2026

Between:

1. SHAIK CHINA RAHAMTULLA, S/O.LATE NABEE SAHEB, AGED ABOUT 85 YEARS RESIDEING AT BODAVADA (VILL), PAMURU (M), MARKAPURAM DIST.PIN CODE 523 167
2. SHAIK SAFFAARAYYAN, S/O.SK.MAHAMMUDA AGED ABOUT 10 YEARS BEING' MINOR REPRESENTED BY HIS MOTHER BY NAME SK.MAHAMMUDA, W/O.KHAJA RAHAMTULLA, AGED ABOUT 49 YEARS PRESENTLY RESIDING AT ARAVINDA NAGAR EXTENSION, NELLORE CITY - 3, SPSR NELLORE DIST

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY FOR REVENUE DEPARTMENT,SECRETARIAT,VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI GUNTUR DIST.
2. THE DIST COLLECTOR, MARKAPURAM DIST.
3. THE TAHSILDAR, PAMURU (M), MARKAPURAM DIST.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased tomay be pleased to issue an appropriate writ, order (or) direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus

declaring the action of Respondents failed in considering the representation of the petitioners dt.23.04.2026 and 09.03.2026 for the purpose of initiating the mutation process for the petitioners land Sy. No. 32 an extent of Ac.5.00 in out of Ac.08.07 cents in favour of second petitioner and rest of the extent Ac. 3.07 cents in favour of 1st petitioner and also Sy. No. 269/2 extent Ac.2.32 cents, Sy.No.29 Ac.2.07 cents, Sy. No.70/13 Ac.0.70 cents, Sy. No.317/4 Ac.2.00 cents Bodavada Village, Pamur Mandal, Markapuram District in view of enter the land in the web land and issue the E.Pass Books in favour of petitioners as illegally arbitrary and violation of under Art 21, 300A of Constitution of India, and consequently direct the Respondents / Govt, to initiate the Mutation process for the land Sy. No.32 an extent of Ac.5.00 cents in favour of 2nd petitioner herein and rest of the land in Sy.No.32 an extent of Ac.03.07 cents, Sy. No.269/2 an extent of Ac.2.32 cents, Sy. No.29 an extent of Ac.2.07 cents, Sy. No.70/13 Ac.0.70 cents, Sy. No.317/4 an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in favour of 1st petitioner herein in view of enter the same in the webland and issue the E.Pass Books in favour of petitioners herein in due process of law and pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to direct the Respondents / Govt, to initiate the Mutation process for the land Sy. No.32 an extent of Ac.5.00 cents in favour of 2nd petitioner herein and rest of the land in Sy.No.32 an extent of Ac.03.07 cents, Sy. No.269/2 an extent of Ac.2.32 cents, Sy. No.29 an extent of Ac.2.07 cents, Sy. No.70/13 Ac.0.70 cents, Sy. No.317/4 an extent of Ac.2.00 cents in favour of 1 petitioner herein in view of enter the same in the web land and issue the E. Pass Books in favour of petitioners herein in due process of law until pending disposal of the writ petition and pass such

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. KHAJARAHAMATULLA S

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

ORDER

Heard Sri S. Khaja Rahamatulla, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents.

2. The grievance of the petitioners is that the 3rd respondent-Tahsildar is not updating the record of rights pursuant to the application in Form-VIA filed along with an additional affidavit vide WPUSR No.84059 of 2026 dated 30.07.2026 and the representation dated 23.04.2026 (Ex.P1).

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners would submit that the petitioners own an extent of Ac.8.07 cents in S.No.32; Ac.2.32 cents in S.No.269/2; Ac.2.07 cents in S.No.29; Ac.0.70 cents in S.No.70/13 and Ac.2.00 cents in S.No.317/4 of Bodavada village, Pamur Mandal, Markapuram District. The petitioner applied for mutation of his name and for issuance of a pattadar pass book and title deed book. The petitioner filed a copy of the application along with an additional affidavit vide WPUSR No.84059 of 2026 dated 30.07.2026, and the representation dated 23.04.2026 is filed as Ex.P1.

4. Sri Divya Theja, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue for the respondents, on instructions, would submit that the 3rd respondent will consider the petitioner's application by adhering to the provisions of Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and Rules 18 to 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989.

5. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, keeping the writ petition pending and inviting a counter affidavit will not serve any purpose.

6. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of at the admission stage with the consent of learned counsel on either side, directing the 3rd respondent-Tahsildar to consider the petitioner's application *vis-à-vis* the lands referred to supra, and pass appropriate orders, strictly adhering to the provisions of Section 5 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 and the Rules 18 to 20 of the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Rules, 1989. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, the pending miscellaneous applications shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBBA REDDY SATTI

PVD