SS,J
Crl.R.C.No. 867 of 2012
Dated 30.10.2026

APHC010241522012

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3560]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

FRIDAY, THE 30th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 867 OF 2012

Between:

1. PALIVELA NAGESWARA RAO, R/O. D.NO:13-511/2, DURGANAGAR, ARILOVA, VISAKHAPATNAM.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. PALIVELA ESWARI, OCC:AGENT TO AGRI GOLD COMPANY,
2. PALIVELA SAI VAMSI, BICCAVOLE MANDAL, BICCAVOLE, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. PALIVELA MRUDULA, D/O. NAGESWARA RAO, OCC: STUDENT, C/O.GIDUTURI CHELALRAO, D.NO.44-31-68/1, SURIBABUNAGAR, KAILASAPURAM, VISAKHAPATNAM-8.
4. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP., BY ITS PUBLIC PROSECUTOR, HIGH COURT BUILDINGS, HIGH COURT OF A.P., AT HYDERABAD.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P S P SURESH KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. DASARI S V V S V PRASAD
2. PUBLIC PROSECUTOR

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 867 OF 2012

ORDER:

1. Heard Sri Hemanth Jalla, learned Counsel, representing Sri P.S.P Suresh Kumar, learned Counsel for Petitioner on record; Sri Dasari S.V.V.S.V. Prasad, learned Counsel for Respondents No.1 to 3; and Sri Panini Somayaji, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for Respondent No.4/State.

2. Learned Counsel for Petitioner report that he has no instructions.

3. It was submitted to this Court by learned Counsel for Petitioner on 07.04.2025 that the matter may have settled through Lok-adalat before the learned trial court. Accordingly, this Court directed concerned District Legal Services Authority to submit a report.

4. In terms of such direction, a report is submitted by the District Legal Services Authority, Visakhapatnam. It appears from the report that the matter was not settled before Lok-adalat.

5. Considering the situation, the matter is taken up for hearing.

6. Instant Criminal Revision Case has been preferred against common order, dated 12.03.2012 passed by the family Court, Visakhapatnam in O.P.No.105 of 2012 and O.P.No. 240 of 2009 wherein and where under, learned

Family Judge concerned has disposed of an application under section 125 of the Criminal Procedure Code, i.e., O.P.No.105 of 2012 filed by the present Respondents No.1 to 3 (Petitioners therein) and directed the present petitioner (respondent therein) to pay maintenance amount of Rs.1,000/- (Rupees one thousand only) per month to the 1st Petitioner and Rs.750/- (Rupees seven hundred and fifty) each per month to the petitioners No.2 and 3 (Total Rs.2,500/-) from the date of petition i.e.,06.01.2009 after deducting payments made by him, if any, during pendency of the case.

7. It further appears that by such order, learned Family Judge has also disposed of another O.P., being O.P.No.240 of 2009 praying for custody of the minor by the present petitioner (respondent therein). By such order, in O.P.No.240 of 2009 learned Family Judge has allowed visiting right of present petitioner to his minor child in peaceful atmosphere. P.W.1 was directed to make suitable arrangements for such meeting. Being aggrieved and dissatisfied with that order, present Revision has been preferred.

8. Total amount of maintenance is only Rs.2,500/- (Rupees two thousand and five hundred only) per month. It is very meager one. Even if in the year 2009, said amount is considered to be very less.

9. In the above facts and circumstances, I find no justification to interfere with the impugned order passed by the learned Family Judge.

10. Moreover, it appears that the prayer in O.P.No.240 of 2009 was also not turn down. Thus, I find no justification to interfere with the finding of learned Family Judge concerned. There are no merits in the instant Criminal Revision Case to interfere.

11. Accordingly, Criminal Revision Case is dismissed. No costs.

i. Petitioner is directed to go on paying the maintenance amount as per direction of learned Family Judge Concerned.
ii. Interim suspension of impugned order, if any, granted by this court, during pendency of this Criminal Revision Case, is hereby vacated.
iii. Arrears if any shall be paid within three (03) months from the date of this order, in three (03) equal monthly installments.

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

Dated 30.10.2026
KS

143

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE SUBHENDU SAMANTA

CRIMINAL REVISION CASE NO: 867 OF 2012

Dated:30.10.2026.

KS