IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
+ WRIT PETITIONos.11672, 11686, 29686, 29688, 29804, 29816 of 2025
%12.11.2026

WP.No.11672 of 2025
Between:

1. YALAMANDALA SATYANRAYANA, S/O YALAMANDALA NARAYANA R/O 2-176, RAJAMPALEM, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY IT'S PRI. SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASST AGENT/, REYENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM, ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
7. MADIVI GANGA, AGE 41YRS, W/O. VENKANNA BABU, D.NO.6-42, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
8. ARAGANTI CHIRANJEEVI, AGE 28YRS, S/O.RAMBABU, D.NO.2-0, JILLELLAGADEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
9. MIDIYAM NAGENDRA, AGE 31YRS, S/O.PENTARAO, D.NO.2-0, NEAR RAMALAYAM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
10. KUNJAM KANNAYAMMA, AGE 63YRS, W/O.PANDAYYA, D.NO.2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
11. SAVALAM CHILAKAMMA, AGE 48YRS, W/O. VEERASWAMI, 278, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
12. GORAM VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 35YRS, S/O.BHIMRAJU, 2-125, MANUGOPULA, POLAVARAM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.
13. KARAM RAMBABU, AGE 33YRS, S/O. DURGA RAO, 1-138, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
14. KUNJAM SINGARAMMA, AGE 73YRS, W/O.BONDIYYA, 2-126,

MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.

15. KUNJAM RAMALAKSHMI, AGE 41YRS, W/O.ABBULU, 2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.
16. GORAM BHIMARAJU, AGE 58YRS, S/O.SWAMI, 2-125, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
17. ARAGANTI RAMBABU, AGE 56YRS, S/O. YARRAYYA, 2-0, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315. RR 7 TO 17 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER COURTS ORDER DT. 18.08.2026 IN I.A.NO.3 OF 2026

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE
3. INAPURAPU SURYANARAYANA

<Gist:

>Head Note:

? Cases referred:

1. 1988 (3) SCC 433
2. 1997 (8) SCC 191
3. 1995 SCC (6) 545

This Court made the following Common Order :

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI
PRESENT
THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
WRIT PETITIONos.11672, 11686, 29686, 29688, 29804, 29816 of 2025
%12.11.2026

WP.No.11672 of 2025
Between:

1. YALAMANDALA SATYANRAYANA, S/O YALAMANDALA NARAYANA R/O 2-176, RAJAMPALEM, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY IT'S PRI. SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASST AGENT/, REYENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM, ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
7. MADIVI GANGA, AGE 41YRS, W/O. VENKANNA BABU, D.NO.6-42, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
8. ARAGANTI CHIRANJEEVI, AGE 28YRS, S/O.RAMBABU, D.NO.2-0, JILLELLAGADEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
9. MIDIYAM NAGENDRA, AGE 31YRS, S/O.PENTARAO, D.NO.2-0, NEAR RAMALAYAM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
10. KUNJAM KANNAYAMMA, AGE 63YRS, W/O.PANDAYYA, D.NO.2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
11. SAVALAM CHILAKAMMA, AGE 48YRS, W/O. VEERASWAMI, 278, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
12. GORAM VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 35YRS, S/O.BHIMRAJU, 2-125, MANUGOPULA, POLAVARAM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.
13. KARAM RAMBABU, AGE 33YRS, S/O. DURGA RAO, 1-138, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.

14. KUNJAM SINGARAMMA, AGE 73YRS, W/O.BONDIYYA, 2-126, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
15. KUNJAM RAMALAKSHMI, AGE 41YRS, W/O.ABBULU, 2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.
16. GORAM BHIMARAJU, AGE 58YRS, S/O.SWAMI, 2-125, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
17. ARAGANTI RAMBABU, AGE 56YRS, S/O. YARRAYYA, 2-0, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315. RR 7 TO 17 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER COURTS ORDER DT. 18.08.2026 IN I.A.NO.3 OF 2026

...RESPONDENT(S):

DATE OF COMMON ORDER PRONOUNCED: **12.11.2026**

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? Yes/No

2. Whether the copies of order may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? Yes/No

3. Whether Your Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the order?

Yes/No

_____

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Date of reserved for orders : 29..09.2026
Date of pronouncement : 12.11.2026
Date of uploading : 12.11.2026

APHC010230642025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI [3457]
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE 12th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITIONos.11672, 11686, 29686, 29688, 29804, 29816 of 2025

WP.No.11672 of 2025

Between:

1. YALAMANDALA SATYANRAYANA, S/O YALAMANDALA NARAYANA R/O 2-176, RAJAMPALEM, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP BY IT'S PRI. SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASST AGENT/, REYENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM, ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
7. MADIVI GANGA, AGE 41YRS, W/O. VENKANNA BABU, D.NO.6-42, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
8. ARAGANTI CHIRANJEEVI, AGE 28YRS, S/O.RAMBABU, D.NO.2-0, JILLELLAGADEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
9. MIDIYAM NAGENDRA, AGE 31YRS, S/O.PENTARAO, D.NO.2-0, NEAR RAMALAYAM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
10. KUNJAM KANNAYAMMA, AGE 63YRS, W/O.PANDAYYA, D.NO.2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
11. SAVALAM CHILAKAMMA, AGE 48YRS, W/O. VEERASWAMI, 278, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
12. GORAM VENKATESWARA RAO, AGE 35YRS, S/O.BHIMRAJU,

2-125, MANUGOPULA, POLAVARAM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.

13. KARAM RAMBABU, AGE 33YRS, S/O. DURGA RAO, 1-138, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
14. KUNJAM SINGARAMMA, AGE 73YRS, W/O.BONDIYYA, 2-126, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
15. KUNJAM RAMALAKSHMI, AGE 41YRS, W/O.ABBULU, 2-93, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315.
16. GORAM BHIMARAJU, AGE 58YRS, S/O.SWAMI, 2-125, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
17. ARAGANTI RAMBABU, AGE 56YRS, S/O. YARRAYYA, 2-0, JILLELLAGUDEM, MANUGOPULA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN -534315. RR 7 TO 17 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER COURTS ORDER DT. 18.08.2026 IN I.A.NO.3 OF 2026

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not allowing the petitioner cultivate his lands covered in R.S.No. 10/2 an extent of Ac. 3.36Cts, R.S.No. 9/2 an extent of Ac.6.12 Cts situated at Nagampalem Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as illegal arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of APSLATReg. 1/70 as well as Reg. 2/70 and consequently direct the respondents 5 and 6 to allow the petitioner to cultivate his lands by providing necessary protection as per the proceedings of Special Deputy Collector (T.W) dated 22.01.2025 and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the 4 and 5 respondents to arrange necessary protection to the petioner's lands covered in R.S.No. 10/2 an extent of Ac. 3.36Cts, R.S.No. 9/2 an extent of Ac.6.12 Cts situated at Nagampalem Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.7 to 10 to be impleaded as respondents No.7 to 10 in W.P. No. 11672 of 2025, and to pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 23.09.2025 in WP.No.11672 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to permit the proposed respondents No. 11 to 21 to be impleaded as respondents No. 11 to 21 in W.P. No. 11672 of 2025, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE
3. INAPURAPU SURYANARAYANA

WP.No.11686/2025

Between:

1. KOTARI SADUGURU MURTHY, S/O VENKATARAJU AGE 57 YEARS, R/O RAJAMPALEM, GOPALAPURAM GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
2. KOTARI SREERAMAMURTHY, S/O VENKATARAJU, AGE 55 YEARS, R/O RAJAMPALEM GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. KOTARI MAHBOOB RAO, S/O VENKATARAJU AGE 53 YEARS,R/O RAJAMPALEM GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. KOTARI VENKATARARAO, S/O VENKATARAO AGE 51 YEARS, R/O RAJAMPALEM GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REP BY IT'S PRI. SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASST AGENT/ REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM, ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.
7. MULEM LAKSHMANA RAO, AGE 33YRS, S/O. SUBBARAO, D.NO.2-10, JILLELLAGUDEM, PEDRALA, NAGAMPALEM,

POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.

8. MULEM PETAMMA, AGE 68YRS, W/O. SUBBARAO, D.NO.2-10, JILLELLAGUDEM, PEDRALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
9. KOTAM RAMPANDU, AGE 34YRS, S/O.RAMUDU, JILLELLAGUDEM, PEDRALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
10. KOTAM KANNAYYA, AGE 73YRS, S/O.RAMUDU, D.NO.6-8, JILLELLAGUDEM, PEDRALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
11. MADAKAM VARALAXMI, AGE 43 YRS, W/O.BULLI DORA, D.NO.6-10/B, MULAPADU, DEVIPATNAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
12. KOKKERA GANGARAJU, AGE 73 YRS, S/O.BUCHAYYA, D.NO.1-85, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
13. MADAKAM DURGARAO, AGE 43YRS, S/O.BUCHAYYA, D.NO.1-40, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
14. MADAKAM SANKURAYYA, AGE 38YRS, S/O.BUCHAYYA, D.NO.1-88, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
15. MADAKAM DULAYYA, AGE 68YRS, S/O.KANNAYYA, D.NO.1-18, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
16. KOKKERA SUJATHA, AGE 40YRS, W/O.KANNAPARAJU, D.NO.1-55, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
17. KOKKERA GANGADHARA RAO, AGE 39YRS, C/O.MUTYALU, D.NO.1-55, MAIN RAOD, PATHAKUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
18. KOPALA LAKSHMIKUMARI, AGE 38YRS, W/O.GANESH REDDY, D.NO.1-20, JILLELLAGUDEM, REDDY KUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
19. KOPALA LAKSHMI, AGE 38YRS, W/O. PRASAD REDDY, D.NO.1-109, JILLELLAGUDEM, REDDY KUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
20. KADAKALA SATTAMMA, AGE 51YRS, W/O.LACHIREDDY, D.NO.2-0, JILLELLAGUDEM, PATHA KUNKALA, NAGAMPALEM, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315.
21. KOKKERA BRAHMADEVUDU, AGE 51YRS, S/O.MUTYALU, D.NO.1-59, PATHA KUNKALA, NEAR GUDI, DONDAPUDI, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT PIN-534315. RR 7 TO 21 ARE IMPLEADED AS PER COURTS ORDER DT. 18.08.2026 IN I.A.NO.3 OF 2026

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not allowing the petitioner cultivate his lands covered in R.S.No. 231 an extent of Ac. 11.97Cts, R.S.No. 241 an extent of Ac.0.17 R.S.No. 246 an extent of Ac. 3.06 R.S.No. 87/1 an extent of Ac.7.61Cts R.S.No. 88 an extent of Ac.5.07situated at L.N.D Peta Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as illegal arbitrary and contrary to the provisions of APSLATReg. 1/70 as well as Reg. 2/70 and consequently direct the respondents 5 and 6 to allow the petitioner to cultivate his lands by providing necessary protection as per the proceedings of Special Deputy Collector (T.W) dated 22.01.2025 and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the 4 and 5 respondents to arrange necessary protection to the petioner's lands covered in R.S.No. 231 an extent of Ac. 11.97Cts, R.S.No. 241 an extent of Ac.0.17 R.S.No. 246 an extent of Ac. 3.06 R.S.No. 87/1 an extent of Ac.7.61Cts R.S.No. 88 an extent of Ac.5.07situated at L.N.D Peta Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.7 to10 to be impleaded as respondents No.7 to 10 in W.P. No.11686 of 2025, and to pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 23.09.2025 in WP.No.11686 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass

IA NO: 3 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased Pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.l 1 to 25 to be impleaded as respondents No.l 1 to 25 in W.P. No. 11686 of 2025, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE
2. INAPURAPU SURYANARAYANA
3. GP FOR SOCIAL WELFARE

WP.No.29686/2025

Between:

1. GEDA SOMARAJU,, S/O. SATYAM, AGED 63 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-

153, NEAR RAMALAYAM, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

2. GEDA NAVYA RUPENDRA,, S/O. SOMA RAJU, AGED 29 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-153, NEAR RAMALAYAM, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. GEDA SATYA GOWTHAM,, S/O. SOMA RAJU, AGED 29 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-189, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. PATHURI BAPANAMMA,, W/O. LATE NAGA RAJU, AGED 75 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-189, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
5. KODURI BHUSHANAM,, W/O. SATYANARAYANA, AGED 67 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-147, GOPAVARAM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
6. CHITURI CHITEMMA,, W/O. RAMARAO, AGED 42 YEARS, R/O. D.NO. 2-145, GOPAVARAM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
7. KOTARI RAMA LAKSHMI,, W/O. LATE SUBBA RAO, AGED 57 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-179, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
8. ILLURI VEERA RAJU,, S/O. LATE SOMA RAJU, AGED 53 YEARS, D.N0.3-14A, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
9. ILLURI VEERA RAJU,, S/O. LATE SURYA RAO, AGED 59 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.1-127, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
10. ILLURI VENKATA RAO,, S/O. VEERANNA, AGED 62 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-162, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
11. SMT ILLURI BABY,, W/O. LATE GANGA RAO, AGED 67 YEARS, D.NO.2-187,RAJAMPALEMVILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
12. ILLURI VISHNU MURTHY,, S/O. LATE SUBBA RAO, AGED 45 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-196,RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
13. PAIDI VENKATA LAKSHMI,, W/O. LATE ANDHRA RASTRAM, AGED 77 YEARS, D.NO.3-170, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
14. ILLURI SATYANARAYANA,, S/O. VEERANNA, AGED 80 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-195, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
15. KOLLURI KRISHNA VENI,, W/O. RAMULU, AGED 61 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.1-1, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
16. GEDA SRINIVAS,, S/O. LATE SADGURU MURTHY, AGED 52 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.1-67, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE,

GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

17. GEDA LAJJENRAO,, S/O. RAMANNA, AGED 76 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.1-31, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
18. UNDAVALLI SATYAVATI,, W/O. LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGED 72 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.1-83, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
19. KORUKALLU KISHORE,, S/O. LATE VENKATA RAO, AGED 43 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.3-57, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
20. UNDAVALLI SURYA CHANDRA PRASAD,, W/O. LATE VEERA RAJU, AGED 42 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.1-81, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY IT'S PRINCIPAL SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM. ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASSISTANT AGENT/ REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM. ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not implementing the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, dated 22-01-2025 as illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India consequently direct the respondents to arrenge necessary protection to the Petitioners land as menetioned in Annexer - A situated at Lakshmi Narayana Devi Peta (LND Peta) Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the Respondents No. 4 and 5 to arrange necessary protection to the Petitioners lands as mentioned in Annexer - A situated at Lakshmi Narayana Devi Peta (LND Peta) Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased Pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.7 to 58 to be impleaded as respondents No.7 to 58 in W.P. No. 29686 of 2025, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE

WP.No.29688/2025

Between:

1. GEDA NAVYA RUPENDRA, S/O. SOMA RAJU, AGED 32 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-153, NEAR RAMALAYAM, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
2. GEDA SATYA GOWTHAM,, S/O. SOMA RAJU, AGED 29 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-153, NEAR RAMALAYAM, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
3. SMT. PATHURI BAPANAMMA, W/O. NAGARAJU, AGED 75 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-189, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
4. KOTARI RAMA LAKSHMI,, W/O. LATE SUBBA RAO, AGED 57 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-179, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
5. YELLAMANDHALA RAJAYOGAM,, S/O. SURANNA, AGED 68 YEARS, D.NO.2-261, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
6. YELLAMANDHALA RAMA RAO,, S/O. SURANNA, AGED 77 YEARS, R/O. 2-16, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
7. ILLURI SATYANARAYANA,, S/O. VEERANNA, AGED 80 YEARS, D.NO.3-195, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
8. SMT CHAPARLA SATYAKUMARI,, W/O. BALA GANGADHARTILAK, AGED 44 YEARS, D.NO.2-34/3, RAJAMPALEMVILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
9. SMT LLLURI BABY,, W/O. LATE GANGA RAO, AGED 67 YEARS, D.NO.2-187, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
10. UNDAVALLI SATYAVATI,, W/O. LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGED 72 YEARS, D.NO.1-83, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
11. PAIDI VENKATA LAKSHMI,, W/O. LATE ANDHRA RASTRAM, AGED 77 YEARS, D.NO.3-170, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
12. KUCHIPUDI VEERA RAJU,, S/O. LATE SATYANARAYANA, AGED

53 YEARS, D.NO.2-33, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

13. LLLURI VEERA RAJU,, S/O. LATE SURYA RAO, AGED 59 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.1-127, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
14. LLLURI VEERA RAJU,, S/O. LATE SOMA RAJU, AGED 53 YEARS, D.N0.3-14A, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
15. LLLURI VENKATA RAO,, S/O. VEERANNA, AGED 62 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-162, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
16. LLLURI VISHNU MURTHY,, S/O. LATE SUBBA RAO, AGED 45 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.3-196,RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
17. PEDHALA NAGAVENI,, W/O. KRISHNA MURTHY, AGED 62 YEARS, R/O.D.NO. 1-1, KUMARADEVAM VILLAGE, KOVVUR MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
18. PARIMI LAKSHMI, W/O.VISHNU MURTHY, AGED 70 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.1-39, RAMANAPALEMVILLAGE, DEVARAPALLI MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
19. NIRUKONDA DHANALAKSHMI,, W/O. SIVA RAMA KRISHNA, AGED 62 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.2-57, KUMARADEVAM VILLAGE, KOVVUR MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
20. KASUKUTHI VARALAKSHMI,, W/O. LATE VEERA RAJU, AGED 82 YEARS, R/O.D.NO.2-36, RAJAMPALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REPRESENTED BY IT'S PRINCIPAL SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM. ELURU DISTRICT.
4. THE SPECIAL ASSISTANT AGENT/ REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM. ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR, POLAVARAM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not implementing the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, dated 22-01-2025 as illegal orbitrary and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India

consequently direct the respondents to arrenge necessary protection to the Petitioners land as menetioned in Annexer - A situated at Nagampalem Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District and to pass such other order or orders as this Hon'ble Court may deems fit just and proper in the circumstances of the case, and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the respondents 4 and 5 to arrange necessary protection to the Petitioners lands as mentioned in Annexer - A situated at Nagampalem Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 04.11.2025 in WP.No.29688 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass such other orders as this Hon’ble Court may deems fit and proper

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.7 to 97 to be impleaded as respondents No.7 to 97 in W.P. No. 29688 of 2025, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR HOME
2. GP FOR REVENUE

WP.No.29804/2025

Between:

1. PALADUGULA SANKAR NARAYANA, S/O. LATE NARAYANA RAO, AGED 68 YEARS, R/O. D.NO.2-61, RAJAM PALEM VILLAGE, GOPALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT.
2. PALADUGULA SURYA PRAKASH RAO,, S/O. LATE NARAYANA RAO, AGED 67 YEARS R/O. D.NO.5-124, KOYALAGUDEM VILLAGE, KOYALAGUDEM MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REPRESENTED BY IT'S PRINCIPAL SECREATARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR, ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM. ELURU DISTRICT.

4. THE SPECIAL ASSISTANT AGENT/ REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM. ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR POLAVARAM, MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not implementing the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, dated 22-01-2025 as illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India consequently direct the respondents to arrange necessary protection to the Petitioners land Paladugula Sankar Narayana covered in R.S.No.106/2 an extent of Ac.2.619 cts and Paladugula Surya Prakash Rao covered in R.S.No. 106/2 an extent of Ac.2.616 situated at VinjaramVillage, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the respondents 4 and 5 to arrange necessary protection to the Petitioners lands Paladugula Sankar Narayana covered in R.S.No. 106/2 an extent of Ac.2.619 cts and Paladugula Surya Prakash Rao covered in R.S.No. 106/2 an extent of Ac.2.616 situated at VinjaramVillage, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 04.11.2025 in WP.No.29804 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

WP.No.29816/2025

Between:

1. BOLLA JAYA KUMARI, W/0 VEERA SWAMY, AGED 65 YRS, R/0 PLOT NO 88/1 SARASWATHI NAGAR, LOTHUKUNTA, SECUNDERABAD, TELANGANA STATE.
2. PARIMI KRISHNA KUMARI,, W/0 RAVI BABU, AGED 63 YRS, R/0 FLAT NO 503,TRUNQUIL TOWERS, REZMENTAL BAZAR,SECUNDERABAD. TELANGANA STATE.
3. ABBURI RAMACHANDER,RAO,, S/0 NARAYUDU, AGED. 65 YRS,

R/0 1-1-269 PLOT NO 117 KAPRA. A.S.RAO NAGAR RANGAREDDY DIST. TELANGANA STATE.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF AP, REPRESENTED BY IT'S PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, REVENUE DEPARTMENT VELAGAPUDI, AMARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR ELURU, THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT/ DISTRICT COLLECTOR ELURU, ELURU DISTRICT.
3. THE PROJECT OFFICER I T D A, K.R.PURAM. ELURU DISTRICT
4. THE SPECIAL ASSISTANT AGENT/ REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, JANGAREDDYGUDEM. ELURU DISTRICT.
5. THE TAHSILDAR POLAVARAM, MANDAL, ELURU DISTRICT.
6. THE STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLAVARAM P.S, POLAVARAM, ELURU DISTRICT.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased to issue order direction more particularly one the in the nature of writ of MANDAMUS to declare the action of the Respondents in not implementing the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, dated 22-01-2025 as illegal arbitrary and violative of Article 300-A of the Constitution of India consequently direct the respondents to arrenge necessary protection to the Petitioners land covered in R.S.No.356/3 an extent Ac.3.71 cts, R.S.No.358/1 Ac.9.02, R.S.No.357/2 an extent of Ac.4.55 cts, R.S.No.357/4 an extent of Ac.1.95 cts total an extent of Ac. 19.03 cts of situated at Lakshmi Narayana Devi Peta (LND Peta) Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District and to pass such

IA NO: 1 OF 2025

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased pleased to direct the respondents 4 and 5 to arrange necessary protection to the Petitioners lands covered in R.S.No.356/3 an extent Ac.3.71 cts R.S.No.358/1 Ac.9.02, R.S.No.357/2 an extent of Ac.4.55 cts. R.S.No.357/4 an extent of Ac.1.95 cts total an extent of Ac. 19.03 cts of situated at Lakshmi Narayana Devi Peta (LND Peta) Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District as per the proceedings of the Special Deputy Collector (T.W) Polavaram dated 22.01.2025 pending disposal of the above writ petition and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased May be pleased to vacate the interim order dated 04.11.2025 in WP.No.29816 of 2025 and dismiss the writ petition and pass

IA NO: 2 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased may be pleased to permit the proposed respondents No.7 to 21 to be impleaded as respondents No.7 to 21 in W.P. No. 29816 of 2025, and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. P R K AMERANDRA KUMAR

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N
WRIT PETITIONos.11672, 11686, 29686, 29688, 29804, 29816 of 2025
COMMON ORDER:

1. The petitioners are non-tribals and own various parcels of land situated at LND Peta Village, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District. The petitioners share a common grievance in this batch of writ petitions: they are residents of the agency areas, own agricultural land, and cultivate the said lands to eke out their livelihood. It is the specific case of the petitioners that the unofficial respondents, claiming to be tribals living in the said area, have a bona fide right to trespass into their properties and disrupt the agricultural activity of the petitioners.
2. It is submitted that, aggrieved by the constant interference of the unofficial respondents and others, the petitioners have submitted a complaint before the Competent Authority, and the Competent Authority issued directions to the Tahsildar, Polavaram, to remove the encroachments and to implement the orders of the authorities. It is submitted that the petitioners are in lawful possession of the various parcels of land, which were acquired by the forefathers of the petitioners long before Regulation 1 of 70 came into force. It is submitted that the petitioners' possession or enjoyment of the said land is not affected by Regulation 1 of 70; as such, the unafficient respondents have no right to interfere and disturb the petitioners' agricultural activities.
3. It is submitted that, after considering all aspects, the Competent Authority issued proceedings dated 22.01.2025 to the Tahsildar,

Polavaram, to ensure that the encroachments are removed. It is submitted that, although the directions are categorically clear, the Tahsildar has not yet taken any steps to comply with the competent authority's orders.

4. As the issue in all the writ petitions is common, by consent of the learned counsel appearing for the parties, these writ petitions are disposed of by a common order.
5. The facts and circumstances of all cases are similar; accordingly, for convenience and brevity, the facts in WP 11686 of 2025 are narrated.
6. The petitioners and their brothers are owners and possessors of land admeasuring 11 acres 97 cents in R. S No. 231, 17 cents in R. S No. 241, 3 acres 6 cents in R. S No. 246, 7 acres 61 cents in R. S No. 87 /1, 5 acres 7 cents in R. S No. 88 at LND Vetta Village, Olavaram Mandal, Elur District. The petitioner's father initially acquired this land during his lifetime, and the then Jamindar of Gangulu Estate issued a patta. After the demise of the petitioner's father, the petitioner and his brothers have partitioned the schedule property, and their names are incorporated in the revenue records.
7. It is submitted that the pattadar passbooks and title deed were also issued in favour of the petitioners. Settlement patta was also granted to the petitioners. The Ryotwari Patta was granted on 26.02.1997, and the same has attained finality. It is submitted that, as the land is situated in the agency area, the Special Deputy Collector conducted an enquiry

based on a complaint given by the Special Deputy Tehsildar in SR Nos. 660 of 1985 to 662 of 1985 and 646 of 1985; after due enquiry, the competent authority held that the petitioners had not contravened any provision of Regulation 1 of 70, and found that the petitioners were in lawful possession of various parcels of land. It is submitted that these orders are not challenged by anyone; as such, they have attained finality.

8. It is submitted that although the petitioners' possession of the lands is lawful, people unconnected with the said property constantly interfere with the agricultural activities and, at times, form groups and prevent the petitioners from cultivating their lands. As such, villagers of Nagampalem and other villages of Polavaram Mandal approached the Special Deputy Collector, seeking directions to the Tahsildar to take necessary steps to prevent unauthorised encroachments and to permit and allow cultivation of the petitioners' lands.

9. At the request of the petitioners and other villagers, the Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Polavaram, by his proceedings dated 22.01.2025, directed the Tahsildar, Polavaram, to remove the encroachments and implement the authorities' orders. The petitioners' names are reflected in the order passed by the Special Deputy Collector. It is submitted that the Tahsildar was specifically directed to ensure that the encroachments are removed and that the orders issued by the competent authorities are implemented, by categorically

attaching the list of the title holders and the extent of land held by the petitioners. Aggrieved by the Tahsildar's inaction, the petitioners have filed the present writ petitions seeking specific directions to the Tahsildar to implement the orders of the competent authorities.

10. Learned Assistant Government Pleader appearing for the official respondents submits that a detailed counter has been filed, and it is also submitted that the title of the petitioners is not in dispute, and the orders passed by the competent authority are also not disputed in the counter. All that is stated in the counter is that the official respondents In order to resolve the issue, have convened a meeting on 11.11.2025 under the chairmanship of Tehsildar, Polavaram, including the Station House Officer, Polavaram and that the Tahsildar, Polavaram, issued notices to the petitioners herein and the tribals of Munugopula, Thottagondi, and Jillyalgudam villages, informing them of the enquiry to be held at the Tahsildar's office, Polavaram, on 11.11.2025. The tribals attended the meeting on 11.11.2025 and submitted a requisition to postpone the said enquiry by 4 weeks to enable them to submit their objections or statements on the subject matter. The counter also states that the tribals have not filed any objections or statements so far. The counter further states that the tribals are in possession of the lands and depend on them. Any disruption would disrupt peace and tranquillity in the agency areas. It is also stated that the respondent authorities have never acted contrary to the interim orders passed by this Court and that

necessary action will be taken as per the final adjudication of the writ petition.

11. The learned counsel appearing for the impleaded respondents submits that the impleaded respondents are tribals and that they have exclusive right over the lands in the scheduled area. It is also submitted that the notice issued by the Tehsildar calling upon the petitioners and the tribals to attend the hearing on 11.11.2025 is also not in accordance with the scheme of the Act. It is submitted that the notice issued by the Tahsildar is invalid, as the Tahsildar has no authority to evict tribals from lands in the agency area.

12. It is submitted that the order in favour of the petitioners passed by the competent authority has already been challenged by the respondents before the Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Polavaram, by filing a petition on 02.01.2025. It is also submitted that the revenue records indicate that the land is classified as Gayalu/Government Land and that the petitioners must establish their right over the property; the burden lies entirely on the petitioners to establish valid title to the lands situated in scheduled areas.

13. It is also submitted that the proceedings dated 22.01.2025 do not qualify to be considered as an order for implementation by the Tahsildar, Polavaram. It is only correspondence and cannot be implemented by the Tahsildar, Polavaram. It is submitted that such proceedings ought not to have been issued pending consideration of the petition filed by

the Tribals challenging the orders passed by the Competent Authority in favour of the petitioners.

14. In reply, the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the impleaded respondents have not submitted any document to establish their right, title, or possession of the subject lands. It is submitted that the petitioners are concerned only with the extent of land held by them and nothing beyond in the said villages. It is also submitted that in the absence of any document in favour of the impleaded respondents, the impleaded respondents cannot stay put on the parcels of land legally owned and possessed by the petitioners.

15. It is also submitted that the orders passed by the complainant authority have attained finality and appeals ought to have been filed within 60 days of the said order. As no order was preferred by anybody, the said orders have attained finality. It is submitted that, after verifying all the documents submitted by the petitioners, the respondent authorities have confirmed that the title and possession of the petitioner's extent of land are not hit by Regulation 1 of 70.

16. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for the official respondents, and learned counsel for the impleaded respondents.

17. The orders passed by the Special Deputy Collector in SR No. 660 of 1985, 661 of 1985 and 662 of 1985 have attained finality. The order passed in SR No. 46 of 1993 has also attained finality. The point for

consideration is whether the Competent Authority could have issued proceedings dated 22.01.2025 calling upon the Tehsildar, Polavaram, to evict the encroachers from the lands specified in the proceedings corresponding to the names of the owners of the lands. The remarks column of the statement annexed to the proceedings dated 22.01.2025 discussed the status of the petitioners, and the Special Deputy Collector found it appropriate after verifying the orders and documents submitted by the applicants. The Tahsildar was called upon to act on the proceedings dated 22.01.2025.

18. The impleaded respondents have not filed any document claiming lands belonging to the petitioners. The contention of the impleaded respondents is that they have a right over lands in the agency area and that the lands in the scheduled area cannot be held by any non tribal. This contention would have been considered had the respondent authorities found that the possession of the lands by the petitioners is contrary to Regulation 1 of 70. Before the regulation came into force, this Court considered transactions between non-tribals and non-tribals in several matters, and held that transactions prior to the commencement of Regulation 1/70 do not contravene the regulation.

19. Agency Laws have evolved to safeguard and protect tribals living in scheduled areas. These laws were introduced to protect Tribals from exploitation and to prevent clandestine transactions by non-Tribals acquiring land in scheduled areas. The first phase of legislation was

introduced as early as 1839 with the Ganjam and Vizagapatnam Act, 1839, which created a Special Administrative Agent exercising Civil, Criminal, and Revenue Authority. The Scheduled Districts Act, 1874 enabled the Executive to exclude or modify general laws for designated tracts of land deemed unsuited to ordinary laws and standard judicial systems because of geographical isolation and distinct tribal cultures (such as parts of Madras, Bengal, Assam, and central regions).

20. The Agency Tracts Interest and Land Transfer Act, 1917 (Madras Act, 1 of 1917), was introduced to regulate interests in and the transfer of land in the Agency Tracts. This legislation restricted a Member of a Tribe from transferring immovable property to a Non-Tribal Member without the Agent's prior consent to the Government.

21. After independence, the framers of the Constitution of India have incorporated Part-10 of the Constitution of India to deal exclusively with the Scheduled and Tribal Areas. Article 244 of the Constitution of India deals with the Administration of Scheduled Areas and Tribal Areas. Thereafter, the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 was introduced. Under this Regulation, the Agent's or the designated Revenue Authority's prior sanction was mandatory for a member of a Scheduled Tribe to transfer immovable property in a Scheduled Area to a Non-Tribal.

22. Regulation II of 1963, the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Laws (Extension and Amendment) Regulation, 1963 extended the 1959

regulation and the related money lenders regulation to scheduled areas. Regulation I of 1970 has changed the dynamics of the Scheduled Area lands and the following are the key points :

(i) **Absolute prohibition** of transfer of immovable property in a Scheduled Area by a tribal to a non-tribal (the sanction route being closed).

(ii) **Extension to transfers between non-tribals** within the Scheduled Area, so that land once out of tribal hands could not circulate freely, and so that the regime could not be defeated by chain transfers.

(iii) **A statutory presumption** that a non-tribal found in possession acquired the land, directly or through predecessors, by transfer from a tribal, unless the contrary is proved, which shifted the evidentiary burden to the possessor.

(iv) **Enforcement machinery**: restoration of land to the tribal, ejectment, restrictions on registration of documents, and penal consequences.

23. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.Ram Reddy and Others Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and another**[1]**.** The Constitutional Challenge to Section 3(1) of the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as substituted by Andhra Pradesh Regulation – 1 of 1970. The Hon'ble Supreme Court upheld the restriction as the same was reasonable and necessary measure to protect Tribal Communities and prevent the continuation of historical exploitation. The transfer of lands held by non-tribals to other non-tribals was prohibited as the same would protect the Tribal Communities, this restriction would prevent new non-tribals from entering into Scheduled Areas.

[1] 1988 (3) SCC 433

24. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P. Samatha Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh[2],** the Hon'ble Supreme Court upheld the prohibition in Section 3(1)(a) and the word "any person" includes the State Government mining lease could not be granted to a Non-Tribal or a Non-Tribal person or Company. The existing leases were prohibited from breaking fresh mines.

25. The Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of **Deputy Collector v. S. Venkata Ramanaiah and another[3]**, dealt with the applicability of 1959 Regulation as amended in 1963 and 1970. The Court has held that the Regulation would operate prospectively and cannot invalidate completed land transactions entered into before the relevant provision became applicable to the concerned Scheduled Areas. The Hon'ble Supreme Court further held that Section 3(2)(a) which provides for ejection of non-tribals and restoration of land to the Tribals is consequential to Section 3(1)(a) and cannot be independently pressed into service to invalidate the possession of a non-tribal and an originally valid transaction.

26. The Law on this subject is well settled. The petitioners' possession and enjoyment is on account of the transactions which were concluded before the regulations became applicable. As such, the regulation cannot affect completed pre-regulation transactions. The Tahsildar would have to implement the orders of the Special Deputy Collector,

[2] 1997 (8) SCC 191
[3] 1995 SCC (6) 545

Tribal Welfare and put a quietus to the recurring problem of continued interference and obstruction of enjoyment of the parcels of lands which are in possession of the petitioners.

27. The writ petitions deserve to be allowed and the impleaded respondents cannot stake claim of any extent of lands over which the petitioners have perfected their possession and the sale transactions over the said parcels of land are admittedly prior to the Regulation – 1 of 1970 coming into force.

28. The Tahsildar, Polavaram Mandal, Eluru District would have to take all steps to implement the orders of the Special Deputy Collector, Tribal Welfare, Polavaram and if need be the Tahsildar shall take the required assistance from the Station House Officer, Polavaram Police Station to ensure peace is maintained in the area while implementing the orders of the Special Deputy Collector.

29. Accordingly, the writ petitions are allowed. No costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE HARINATH.N

Dated 12.11.2026
LR Copy to be marked
B/o.KGM

Whether the order is:

Speaking		Reasoned	☑
Reportable		Non-reportable	☑

12

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE HARINATH.N

WRIT PETITIONos.11672, 11686, 29686, 29688, 29804, 29816 of 2025

Dated 12.11.2026

LR Copy

KGM