APHC010215932026

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3330]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE 2nd DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1919/2026

Between:

1. SRI. MARUVADA RAMA KRISHNA,, S/O. LATE MARUVADA BHAVANI SHANKAR, AGED ABOUT 71 YEARS, R/O H.NO.8-55, PANCHAYATI OFFICE STREET, LOWER STREET, PERURU VILLAGE,AMALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT(PRESENTLY DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT) ANDHRA PRADESH, PIN-533 218.

2. SRI. MARUVADA MANI SANKAR,, S/O. MARUVADA RAMA KRISHNA, AGED ABOUT 36 YEARS, R/O H.NO.8-55, PANCHAYAT STREET, LOWER STREET, PERURU VILLAGE,AMALAPURAM MANDAL, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT(PRESENTLY DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT) ANDHRA PRADESH, PIN-533 218.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. DR MAHESH KUMAR RAVIRALA I A S, DISTRICT COLLECTOR AND DISTRICT MAGISTRATE, AGED ABOUT 37 YRS.. DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT,AMALAPURAM-533201 ANDHRA PRADESH

2. SMT KOTHA MADHAVI, REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER. AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, DR. B.R. AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, AMALAPURAM-533201, ANDHRA PRADESH.

3. SRI G D KISHORE BABU, TAHSILDAR, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, TAHSILDAR OFFICE, AMALAPURAM, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, AMALAPURAM-533201.

4. SRI RAVI GANGA, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (ROADS AND BUILDING)-R AND B DIVISION, AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN,AMALAPURAM-533201 ,DR. B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

5. SRI VASAMSETTI VENKATA RAMANA, ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER(ROADS AND BUILDING) AGED ABOUT NOT KNOWN, AMALAPURAM-533201, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

6. SRI VEGULLA RAMAN, PANCHAYAT SECRETARY, PERURU GRAM PANCHAYAT, PERURU VILLAGE, AMALAPURAM MANDAL, DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR KONASEEMA DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH.

...CONTEMNOR(S):

Petition under Sections 10 to 12 of Contempt of Courts Act 1971 praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit file herein the High Court may be pleased to punish the respondents under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for willfully not implementing the Court orders passed by this Hon'ble Court on 02.01.2026 in W.P.No.17374 of 2023, dated 02.01.2026, and to pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2026

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to implead the proposed respondent no.7 in the Contempt Case No.1919 of 2026 and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. MANTHA RAJENDRA

Counsel for the Contemnor(S):

1. K M KRISHNA REDDY
2. Y KOTESWARA RAO
3. Y BALAJI

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1919 OF 2026

ORDER:

The Writ Petition is filed to declare the inaction of the respondent Nos.3 to 10 and not implementing the order of the 2nd respondent/Collector dated 06.12.2021 as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, violative of the principles of natural justice and Articles 14, 19(1)(g), 21 and 300-A of the Constitution of India and, consequently, to direct the respondents to pay compensation at the prevailing market value and damages including the costs of litigation, to the petitioners in respect of their land, which was illegally encroached upon without following due process of law.

2. In the light of the above, the petitioners are the owners of the land in an extent of Ac.0.05 cents in Sy.No.164/2, situated at Peruru Village, Amalapuram Mandal.

3. This Court, *vide* order dated 02.01.2026, disposed of the writ petition with the following directions i.e., the respondents were directed to hand over the land in an extent of Ac.0.05 cents in Sy.No.164/2 to the petitioners within a period of three weeks from the date of receipt of this order, failing which the respondents were directed to pay compensation by following the due procedure of law by invoking the land acquisition proceedings, as the petitioners cannot be deprived of their right to enjoy the property, which is a constitutional and human right in terms of the judgment of the Hon'ble Apex Court.

4. For non-compliance of the said order, the petitioners filed the present contempt case.

5. Subsequently, after filing of the contempt case, the respondent authorities handed over the subject land to the petitioners and, thus, complied with the directions issued by this Court.

6. Now, the grievance of the petitioners is that the subject land is not useful for cultivation. In view of the facts done by the respondents, the remedy available to the petitioners is by way of filing a separate writ petition, as the respondent authorities have complied with the first part of the directions issued by this Court.

7. In view of the above, nothing survives for further adjudication in the present contempt case.

8. Accordingly, the Contempt Case is closed. If at all the petitioners are aggrieved on the ground that the land is not useful for cultivation, they are at liberty to file an appropriate application before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any shall stand closed.

JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

Date: 02.11.2026
Pmk

192

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE TARLADA RAJASEKHAR RAO

CONTEMPT CASE NO: 1919 OF 2026

02.11.2026
Pmk