Neutral Citation_____

APHC010170262016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH AT AMARAVATI [3606]**

THURSDAY, THE 29th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

**PRESENT**

**THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR CHINTALAPUDI**

**SECOND APPEAL No.34 OF 2016**

**Between:**

1. Smt. Bandaru Ramakota Satyavathi, W/o. Satyanarayana, aged 53 years, Occ: Cultivation, R/o. College Road, Jangareddigudem Village and Mandal, West Godavari District.

**...Petitioner**

**AND**

1. Simhadri Venkata Rami Reddy died.
2. Simhadri Venkata Reddy, S/o. Venkata Ramireddy, Aged 42 years, Cultivation, R/o. Nagulagudem Village, Jangareddigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.
3. Simhadri Gangadhara Reddi, S/o. Venkata Ramireddy, Aged 37 years, Cultivation, R/o. Nagulagudem Village, Jangareddigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.
4. Simhadri Lakshmi Narasamma, W/o. late Venkata Ramireddy, Aged 61 years, Housewife, R/o. Nagulagudem Village, Jangareddigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.

5. Chilukuri Durgamma, W/o. Seetareddi, aged 52 years, Cultivation, R/o. Perampeta Village, Jangareddigudem Mandal, West Godavari District.

**...Respondents**

Appeal under section 100 of CPC against the judgment and decree dated 26.10.2015 in A.S.No.113 of 2011 (old A.S.No.63 of 2008) passed by the IX Additional District Judge, Kovvur, West Godavari District, in allowing the appeal by reversing the judgment and decree dated 09.04.2008 in O.S.No.335 of 1999 passed by the I Additional Junior Civil Judge, Kovvur, West Godavari District.

**IA No.1 OF 2016 (SAMP 78 OF 2016)**

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to suspend the operation of the judgment and decree dated 26.10.2015 in A.S.No.113 of 2011 (old A.S.No.63 of 2008) passed by the IX Additional District Judge, Kovvur, West Godavari District, pending disposal of the above Second Appeal.

**Counsel for the Appellant : D LALITHA PRASAD**

**Counsel for the Respondents: K JYOTHI PRASAD**

**The Court made the following:**

**JUDGMENT**:

None appeared for the appellant, during the morning session. Matter is directed to be called again at 04:00 p.m. At 04:00 p.m., there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

**2.** Sri K. Jyothi Prasad, learned counsel for the respondents, is present.

**3.** In previous proceedings, it was recorded as follows:

> "On several occasions, there has been no representation on behalf of the appellant. Today also, there is no representation.
>
> Learned counsel for respondent Nos.2 to 4 is present.
>
> No representation for respondent No.5
>
> As per the previous proceedings, the Second Appeal has not yet been admitted.
>
> For framing substantial questions of law, list the matter on 29.10.2026."

**4.** Despite of directions, the appellant did not prosecute the case. Since there is no prosecution for the appellant, the Second Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending Miscellaneous Applications, if any, shall stand closed.

*Interim* order, granted earlier, if any, shall stand vacated.

**JUSTICE PURUSHOTTAM KUMAR CHINTALAPUDI**

Date:29.10.2026
DSH

Whether the order is:

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Speaking | √ | Reasoned | |
| Reportable | | Non-Reportable | √ |