APHC010144192016

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 28th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 863 OF 2016

Between:

1. Smt.B.Lilly,, W/o Kothayya, aged about 45 years, Occ:B-Grade Electrical Constractor, B/6505 0-204741, M/s B.J.R.Electricals, Shop No.11, Setvis Complex, Opp: State Bank of India, NH-5, BHPV, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

...Petitioner

AND

1. The Chief Electrical Inspector to Government of Andhra, Pradesh, Ground Floor, Mint Compund, Hyderabad-44.

2. The Secretary, A.P.Electrical Licensing Board, 0/0 The Electrical Inspector, D.No. 5-87-96, 3rd Floor, (Up-Stairs of Big 'C), Opp: Axis Bank, Lakshmipuram Main Road, Guntur-522 007.

3. The Divisional Electrical Engineer, Operation, Zone-II, APEPDCL, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

4. The Deputy Electrical Inspector, APEPDCL, Plot No.2,Sector-10, M.V.P.Colony, Visakhapatnam, Visakhapatnam District.

...Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be

pleased toto issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Mandamus declaring the action of the 2nd respondent in issuing show cause notice in Lr.No. Secy/A.P.E.L.B/D.No. 3859 / 2015 dt 30.11.2015 to the petitioner without there being any inspection conducted by the 4th respondent with regard to wiring certificates issued by the petitioner as being illegal, unjust, arbitrary and violative of Art 14 and 21 of Constitution of India and be pass.

IA NO: 1 OF 2016(WPMP 1046 OF 2016

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to grant interim direction directing the 2nd respondent not to suspend the licence in B/6505 0-204741 granted to the petitioner pending disposal of the above writ petition and pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: T V SRI DEVI

Counsel for the Respondents: V V SATISH (SC for APEPDCL) Counsel for the Respondents:GP FOR ENERGY (AP) Counsel for the Respondents:M RAVINDRA

The Court made the following order:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 863 OF 2016

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present Writ Petition is filed challenging the action of the respondents in issuing a show-cause notice dated 30.11.2015 alleging violation of Regulation 29(1) of CEA(MSES) Regulations, 2010 and also APEL Regulations, 1987.

3. Learned Standing Counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner did not act pursuant to the show-cause notice and did not submit any explanation. In the absence of any interim orders, non-submission of explanation by the petitioner and after lapse of period of one decade, the cause in the writ petition does not survives for further adjudication.

4. Considering the submission made by both the learned counsel and on perusal of the material placed on record, it is observed that the petitioner was granted license basing upon the false wiring certificate. As such, the respondents issued a notice dated 09.10.2015 calling the petitioner to submit her explanation. Even though the said notice is only a show cause notice, without submitting any explanation, the petitioner herein preferred the present Writ Petition challenging the said show-cause notice. On perusal of the record, it is observed that there are no interim

orders granted in favour of the petitioner. As per the instructions of the learned Standing Counsel, the respondents acted pursuant to the show-cause notice. Moreover, in the absence of any interim directions and after lapse of period of one decade, the cause in the present writ petition does not survive for further adjudication and no further orders are necessary.

5. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous. However, the respondents are at liberty to proceed further in accordance with law. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

28.10.2026
BSP/TPS

124

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 863 OF 2016

28.10.2026
BSP/TPS