APHC010094912018

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3333]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 7th DAY OF OCTOBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SMT JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

WRIT PETITION NO: 4325/2018

Between:

1. GUDE NAGARAJU,, S/O. CHINA PERAIAH AGED ABOUT 29 YEARS, OCC. SOCIAL ACTIVIST R/O. D.NO. 2-1-291/11, OPP. GANDHI BOMMA GADDALAGUNTA, ONGOLE, PRAKASAM DISTRICT

...PETITIONER

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP. BY ITS SPECIAL CHIEF SECRETARY, GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. ANDHRA PRADESH INFORMATION COMMISSION, MANGALAGIRI, GUNTUR DISTRICT
3. THE APPELLATE AUTHORITY CUM REVENUE DIVISIONAL OFFICER, KANDUKUR DIVISION, KANDUKUR, PRAKASAM DISTRICT
4. THE PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICERCUMTAHSILDAR, PONNALURU MANDAL, PONNALURU, PRAKASAM DISTRICT

...RESPONDENT(S):

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring (i) the action of the 4th respondent Public Information Officer (PIO) in not furnishing the information requested under Right to Information Act, 2005 vide application dated 26.05.2017 submitted by the petitioner (ii) the action of the 2 and 3 rd respondents appellate authorities in

not acting on the appeals filed against the PIO's inaction in disposing of the application for information and (iii) the action of the 1st respondent / State in not appointing State Information Commissioners as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, unconstitutional and against the spirit of the Right to Information Act, 2005 and consequently direct the 4th respondent to furnish the information in accordance with rules and pass

IA NO: 1 OF 2018

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased be pleased to direct the 4th respondent to forthwith furnish the information on the application dated 26.05.2017 submitted by the petitioner, after collecting the exact amount pending disposal of the writ petition.

Counsel for the Petitioner:

1. SYED ARIF BASHA

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR REVENUE (AP)
2. GP FOR EMPLOYMENT TRAINING (AP)
3. GP FOR GENERAL ADMINISTRATION (AP)
4. KAMBHAMPATI RAMESH BABU(SC FOR AP INFORMATION COMMISSION)

The Court made the following

ORDER:

The present Writ Petition came to be filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking the following relief:-

> *"… to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ or direction declaring (i) the action of the 4th respondent Public Information Officer (PIO) in not furnishing the information requested under Right to Information Act, 2005 vide application dated 26.05.2017 submitted by the petitioner (ii) the action of the 2nd and 3rd respondents/ appellate authorities in not acting on the appeals filed against the PIO's inaction in disposing of the application for information and (iii) the action of the 1st respondent / State in not appointing State Information Commissioners as illegal, arbitrary, unjust, unconstitutional and against the spirit of the Right to Information Act, 2005 and consequently direct the 4th respondent to furnish the information in accordance with rules …."*

2. Today, when the matter came up for hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue has furnished a copy of the endorsement dated 21.03.2018, which discloses that the information sought by the petitioner was furnished pursuant to the notice issued by the 3rd respondent/Revenue Divisional Officer, Kandukur, dated 01.07.2017. Along with the said endorsement, a postal acknowledgement has also been furnished to establish that the same was sent to the petitioner on 21.03.2018.

3. Recording the above instructions and as the information sought by the petitioner has already been furnished by the respondents, no further cause survives in the present Writ Petition.

4. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, Interlocutory Applications pending, if any, in this Writ Petition, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE V.SUJATHA

Date: 07.10.2026
KGR/GSN