APHC010071112017

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 18th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 988 OF 2017

Between:

1. Allam Venkatarami Reddy,, S/o. Allam Satyanarayana Reddy, Aged 30 years, Occ : Business, R/o. Nadimpalem Village, Prathipadu Mandal, Guntur District.

...PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary to Government, Power & Energy Department, Secretariat, Velagapudi, Amaravathi, Guntur District.

2. The Southern Power Distribution Company of A P Ltd, Rep. by its Assistant Accounts Officer, Electricity Revenue Office, Rural - 1, Guntur District.

3. The Superintending Engineer/Operations, Guntur, Guntur District.

4. The Divisional Electrical Engineer/Rural Guntur, Guntur District.

5. M/s KPR Cotton Oil Mills Private Ltd, Guntur, Rep. by its Managing Partner K.Peri Reddy, S/o. K.Brahma Reddy, Aged about 51 years, R/o. Door No.26-19-178, A.T.Agraharam, Guntur City.

6. The Assistant Divisional Engineer, Parthipadu Mandal, Guntur, Guntur District.

...RESPONDENTs

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith, the High Court may be pleased toto issue a writ, order or direction more particularly one in the nature of writ of mandamus declaring the impugned proceedings of the 2nd Respondent herein addressed to the 5th Respondent, Lr.No.AAO/ERO/R1/GNT/JAO-II/D No.979/16, dated 23-11-2016, in so far as intimating the proposed disconnection of Petitioner's Live Service No.506, Nadimpalem village, Prathipadu Mandal. Guntur District, without further notice as an alternative measure in the event of non-payment of pending amounts towards Electricity CC charges in respect of SC No.HT 3057 and 3100 within 7 days from the date of receipt of the said notice as illegal, arbitrary, unconstitutional, malafide and to consequently set aside the same and to forthwith direct the Respondent No.2 herein not to initiate any coercive steps against the Petitioner herein for disconnection of Petitioner's Live Service No.506, Nadimpalem village, Prathipadu Mandal, Guntur District for premises bearing Door No.28/2A, admeasuring Ac.0.12 cents or 581 Sq. yards, situated in Nadimpalem village, Prathipadu Mandal, Guntur District in the interest of justice

IA NO: 1 OF 2017(WPMP 1093 OF 2017

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to forthwith direct the Respondent No.2 herein not to initiate any coercive steps against the Petitioner herein for disconnection of Petitioner's Live Service No.506, Nadimpalem village, Prathipadu Mandal, Guntur District for premises bearing Door No.28/2A, admeasuring Ac.0.12 cents or 581 Sq. yards, situated in Nadimpalem village, Prathipadu MandEl, Guntur District, in the interest of justice pending disposal of the above writ petition

IA NO: 4 OF 2017(WPMP 23466 OF 2017

Petition under Section 151 CPC praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in support of the petition, the High Court may be pleased to

pass appropriate order extending the interim order granted on 30-01-2017 in W.P.No.. 988 of 2017, and subsequently being extended from time to time pending further orders, pending final adjudication of W.P.No. 988/2017 and to pass

Counsel for the Petitioner: S MADHAVA RAO

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR ENERGY (AP) Counsel for the Respondents:JAGARLAMUDI KOTESWARI DEVI Counsel for the Respondents:V R REDDY KOVVURI (SC FOR APCPDCL) Counsel for the Respondents:V V SATISH

The Court made the following order:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 988 OF 2017

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is observed from the docket order that there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 4.11.2026 and 12.11.2026 and the matter is posted to today under the caption 'For Dismissal'. Even, today there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. This indicates that the petitioner is not evincing any interest in prosecuting the matter.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

_____

VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA, J

18.11.2026
TPS

111

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VENKATESWARLU NIMMAGADDA

WRIT PETITION NO: 988 OF 2017

18.11.2026
TPS