APHC010011562023

IN THE HIGH COURT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT AMARAVATI **[3396]**
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE 4th DAY OF NOVEMBER 2026

PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE DR JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

WRIT PETITION No. 679/2023

Between:

1. AVANIGADDA SUDHEER KUMAR, S/O SIVA NARAYANA, AGED 27 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O RAVICHETTU DIBBA, ROYYURU VILLAGE, THOTLAVALLURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT.
2. AVANIGADDA SIVA NARAYANA,, S/O NAGESWARA RAO, AGED 55 YEARS, OCC AGRICULTURE, R/O RAVICHETTU DIBBA, ROYYURU VILLAGE, THOTLAVALLURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT.

...PETITIONER(S)

AND

1. THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH, REP.BY ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY, AGRICULTURE AND MARKETING DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, VELAGAPUDI, ARNARAVATHI, GUNTUR DISTRICT.
2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR, KRISHNA DISTRICT, MACHILIPATNAM.
3. THE ANDHRA PRADESH MARKETING FEDERATION AP MARKFED, REP.BY ITS MANAGING DIRECTOR, AUTO NAGAR, VIJAYAWADA.
4. THE JOINT DIRECTOR, AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT, KRISHNA DISTRICT, COLLECTORATE COMPOUND, CHILAKALAPUDI, MACHILIPATNAM.
5. THE RYTHU BHAROSA KENDRAM, ROYYURU. REP.BY ITS VILLAGE AGRICULTURE ASSISTANT, ROYYURU, THOTLAVALLURU MANDAL, KRISHNA DISTRICT - 521 163, A.P.

...RESPONDENT(S):

Counsel for the Petitioner(S):

1. YADAVALLI RAMESH

Counsel for the Respondent(S):

1. GP FOR AGRICULTURE
2. Y MAHA LAKSHMI

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India with the following prayer for:

> "*.... a Writ of Mandamus, declaring the action of the Respondents in not procuring the Turmeric Crop, which is raised by the Petitioner No.1 in an extent of Ac.2-00 cents in Sy.No.344/4A and in an extent of Ac.1-84 cents in Sy.No.344/4B of Royyuru Village and the crop of the Petitioner No.2 raised in the land in an extent of Ac.1-96 cents in Sy.No.344/2 of Royyuru Village, as tenants, contrary to the Government Policy, being illegal, arbitrary and violation of Article 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the Respondents to procure the Turmeric Crop raised by the Petitioner No.1 in an extent of Ac.2-00 cents in Sy.No.344/4A and in an extent of Ac.1-84 cents in Sy.No.344/4B of Royyuru Village and the crop of the Petitioner No.2 raised in the land in an extent of Ac.1-96 cents in Sy.No.344/2 of Royyuru Village, as tenants."*

2. When the matter is taken up for hearing, Sri Yadavalli Ramesh, learned counsel for the Petitioners would submit that the cause of action for this petition does not survive and hence, the petition may be closed.

3. Ms.K.Sandhya Deepthi, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Agriculture is in attendance.

4. Considering the submissions made, the Writ Petition is closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending shall stand closed.

_____

Dr.JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

Date:04.11.2026
Dinesh

HON'BLE DR. JUSTICE VENKATA JYOTHIRMAI PRATAPA

W.P.No.679 of 2023

Dt.04.11.2026

Dinesh