



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) No.424 OF 2026

(Shaligram s/o. Vitthal Chore Vs. State of Maharashtra, through P.S.O., Sonala, Tal
Khamgaon, Distt. Buldhana and another)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. V.T. Suryawanshi with Ms. N.J. Kolhe, Advocate for appellant.
Mr. K.R. Lule, APP for respondent No.1.

CORAM : PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.
DATE : 11th JUNE, 2026.

1. By this application, the applicant is seeking pre-arrest bail in the crime No.0114/2026, registered with Police Station Sonala, for the offence punishable under Sections 420, 468, and 471 of the Indian Penal Code.

2. This Court by an order dated 26th May, 2026 granted interim protection to the applicant by making specific observations in para 3 and 4 as under :

“3. Mr. Suryawanshi, the learned counsel for the applicant, has invited my attention to the recitals of the FIR, which pertain to the mutation entry of Plot No. 327. The aforesaid mutation entry has already been set aside by the Revenue Authorities, and an appeal under Section 247 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code has been filed by the present applicant before the learned Deputy Director of Land Records, Amravati, which is pending and is at record Page No.49 (Annexure VI). The complainant, Pralhad Tulshiram Chore, has also filed a civil suit for partition of the

ancestral property bearing Regular Civil Suit No. 37 of 2014 on 13.08.2014 before the learned Civil Judge, Junior Division, Sangrampur.

4. The perusal of the aforesaid plaint, which is at record Page No.38 (Annexure IV), reveals that even the complainant has not claimed any share in the aforesaid Plot No. 327 in the said suit. The grievance has been raised regarding the same in the present FIR. The fact remains that the applicant and the complainant are relatives, between whom civil as well as revenue disputes are pending.”

3. It is informed to this Court that the applicant has attended the Police Station and co-operated in the investigation.

4. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor has stated that the custody of the applicant is required to secure the original documents bearing the signature and the specimen signature of the present applicant. It is further stated that seal/stamp of the Gram Panchayat Tunki is also required in the matter and for that purpose the custody is required.

5. The applicant states that he is already co-operating to the Investigation Officer and whatever the documents available with him are already produced by him before the Investigation Officer and he is ready to co-operate to produce the original documents which are lying in his custody. According to him, the Investigation Officer can always seize the seal/stamp of the Gram Panchayat.

6. In light of this factual position, in my opinion, the

custody of the applicant is not necessary in the matter.

7. In the circumstances, the interim order passed by this Court dated 26th May, 2026 is hereby confirmed and the conditions which are stipulated in the order dated 26th May, 2026 shall be followed by the applicant till filing of the charge-sheet.

8. The application stands disposed of.

(PRAVIN S. PATIL, J.)