



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.341 OF 2026

Ishwar s/o Shrikrushna Mate and another Vs. State of Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri M.V. Rai, Advocate for applicants.
Shri N.R. Rode, AGP / APP for respondent/State.

CORAM : RAJNISH R. VYAS.

DATE : 29.04.2026.

1. Apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No.0075/2026 dated 13.04.2026 registered with Police Station, Hingna, Nagpur for the offences punishable under Sections 184, 134, 177 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and Sections 118(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 the applicants/accused nos.1 and 2 have approached this Court.

2. The informant of the crime is one Mukesh Shrikrushna Mate, who is elder brother of applicant no.1 and son of applicant no.2.

3. In short, it is alleged in the FIR that the building of the Ahilyadevi Holkar Ashram School, Ukhali was in possession of the applicants and one Vrundan Mate, brother of the informant. The informant of the crime was cashier attached to the said school. It was alleged in the FIR that as the building was in dilapidated condition, in order to secure

safety of the students, and as directed by the superior, the informant had taken the students to the other school. As the desk and benches so also almirahs were at the school at Ukhali, the informant told one Prakash Sawarkar that these articles would be taken to school at Ukhali to school Chandewani, Taluka Karanja. It was further alleged in the FIR that on 10.04.2026, a report was lodged by the applicants with Hingna Police Station against the informant for taking the aforesaid articles. The applicant then took the transport vehicle in the school premises. According to the FIR, on 14.04.2026 at about 02.00 pm, when the informant was in the school premises, the applicant no.1 gave repeated dash to the informant by the vehicle due to which he sustained the injuries including fracture. It was alleged that applicant no.1 thereafter went alongwith the applicant no.2. It is this instance which has triggered registration of FIR.

4. The learned counsel for the applicants submitted that the applicant no.2 is the mother of the informant and was working on the post of headmistress with Chitralkha School. He has submitted that the dispute involved in the FIR is purely a family dispute and the incident was narrated by narrating false version. He thus prayed for grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Per contra, the learned APP has contended that the informant has specifically alleged in the FIR that by driving the vehicle, the applicant no.1 had given repeated dash and

caused injury to the informant. He thus requested for rejection of the application.

6. With the assistance of the respective counsels, I have gone through the record of the case. It is specifically alleged that the applicant no.1 had driven the vehicle and intentionally gave dash to the informant due to which the informant has sustained injuries including fracture. So far as applicant no.2 is concerned, it is alleged that she was with the applicant no.1 and no specific role is assigned to her.

7. In that view of the matter, I am inclined to grant ad interim bail to applicant no.2. Accordingly, following order is passed:

ORDER

(i) Issue notice to the non-applicant, returnable on **06.05.2026**.

(ii) The learned APP waives service of notice for non-applicant State.

(iii) In the event of arrest in connection with Crime No.0075/2026 registered with Police Station, Hingna, Nagpur for the offences punishable under Sections 184, 134, 177 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 and Sections 118(2) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023, applicant no.2 – **Megha Wd/o Shrikrushna Mate** shall be released on ad interim bail on furnishing a PR bond of ₹25,000/-

[Rupees Twenty Five Thousand only] with one solvent surety in the like amount.

(iii) The applicant no.2 shall attend the concerned Police Station on 2nd and 3rd May 2026 between 10:00 am to 12:00 noon.

(iv) The applicant no.2 shall cooperate with the investigating agency and shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence the witnesses directly or indirectly.

(v) The applicant no.2 shall not leave India without prior permission of this Court.

(Rajnish R. Vyas, J.)

Wagh