



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION [BA] NO.439 OF 2026.

Pradip Narayan Pendram

-VERSUS-

State of Maharashtra.

Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders

Shri R.V. Gahilot, Advocate for the Applicant.
Ms S.Z. Haider, A.P.P. for the Non-applicant/State.

CORAM : M.M. NERLIKAR, J.

DATE : APRIL 16, 2026.

Heard.

2. The applicant came to be arrested in connection with Crime No.275/2025 registered with Ralegaon Police Station, District Yavatmal for the offence punishable under Sections 109 and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS).

3. The first information report came to be lodged by Santosh Maraskolhe, uncle of the victim Priyanka, alleging

2

that the applicant is husband of Priyanka @ Harsha Pradip Pendram and there was quarrel between them and therefore, the victim came to the informant's house on 06.07.2025 and informed him that the applicant is suspecting her character. On 08.07.2025, the informant received a phone call from father-in-law of Priyanka, that the applicant has assaulted her with the help of blade on neck and she has been taken to the hospital. When the informant reached the hospital and interacted with Priyanka, she told that the applicant with an intention to kill her had assaulted her with blade, therefore, the report was lodged.

4. The learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant is husband of the victim Priyanka. The allegations against the applicant are that he suspected the character of Priyanka, and on that count he used to abuse and harass her. On 08.07.2025 between 9.30 to 10 a.m., the applicant again quarreled with her, while she was performing her household work in the kitchen, he came from her back side, cut her neck and also inflicted injuries with blade on forearm, thumb and palm. The learned Counsel further

3

submitted that the victim visited the applicant in jail, and therefore, there is possibility of settlement between the applicant and his wife. Therefore, according to the applicant, though there are allegations against the applicant, there are no criminal antecedents against him and one chance be given for settlement, by granting him bail.

5. On the other hand the learned A.P.P. vehemently opposes the application by stating that the conduct of the applicant is objectionable. She further submits that the applicant is suspicious of the character of his wife. The injury certificate shows that there is laceration on the left aspect of neck and said injury is classified as grievous injury. The victim was required to take treatment for two days, and therefore, considering the nature of allegations against the applicant, he does not deserve to be enlarged on bail.

6. I have considered the rival submissions canvassed by the parties. No doubt there are serious allegations against the applicant, however, considering the fact that the applicant is husband and he is trying his level best to settle the dispute so also as per the submission of the learned Counsel for the

applicant, the victim wife is visiting the applicant in jail and further as the investigation is over and charge sheet is filed, I am inclined to grant bail. Hence, the following order.

ORDER

- (i) Criminal Application is allowed and disposed of.
- (ii) The applicant /accused Pradip Narayan Pendram be released on regular bail in connection with Crime No.275/2025 registered with Ralegaon Police Station, District Yavatmal for the offence punishable under Sections 109 and 352 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (BNS) on his furnishing P.R. Bond of Rs.50,000/- with two sureties in the like amount.
- (iii) The accused shall not commit similar type of offence in future.
- (iv) The accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case, as also shall not tamper with the evidence.
- (v) The accused shall provide his residential address and cell number to Police Station concerned and shall not change his place of residence

5

without prior intimation to the Investigating Agency.

- (vi) The accused shall attend each and every date of trial regularly. If he fails to attend the trial for one single date, or fails to comply with the aforesaid conditions, his default would entail the State to ask for cancellation of bail.
- (vii) The above observations are prima facie in nature, and restricted for the purpose of deciding this application. The Trial Court shall not get itself influenced by said observations, during the course of trial.
- (viii) Misc. Applications, if any, are also disposed of.

JUDGE

-