



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 249 OF 2026

Ramesh Krushna Narote

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, through Secretary Home Department Mantralaya,
Mumbai and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Ms. Shweta Pritam Chavhan, Advocate for petitioner.

Ms. N. R. Tripathi, APP for respondents/State.

**CORAM : URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE AND
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.**

DATED : 29/04/2026

1. Heard.
2. The petitioner is seeking the benefit of remission in terms of the Government Resolution dated 03.06.2017.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the other co-accused has been extended the said benefit in Criminal Writ Petition No.13/2026 decided on 19.01.2026. Considering the clause in Government Resolution as well as the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Buldhana. We do not find any reason why should the petitioner not get the benefit of the said Government Resolution.
4. The petition is, accordingly, allowed. The communication dated 13.11.2025 issued by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Buldhana, stating that conviction under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code is the conviction and a Central legislation and, therefore, the benefit cannot be extended, is apparently erroneous. The said communication

accordingly quashed and set aside. The respondents shall extend the benefit in terms of the Government Resolution dated 03.06.2017, if otherwise there is no other legal impediment. With the aforesaid directions, the petition is **disposed of.**

(NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, J)

(URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J)