



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Writ Petition No.67 of 2026

Komesh s/o Jaipal Dede

vs.

Deputy Inspector General of Prison (East Region), Nagpur and another

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

Ms. Sonali Khobragade, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mrs. Nandita Tripathi, A.P.P. for the Respondents/State.

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE & NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : 27th JANUARY, 2026.

The argument is that surety furnished by the petitioner has been not accepted on the ground that he does not possess immovable property.

02. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue of solvency depends upon the capability of the surety to pay the surety amount and not necessary on owning or possessing immovable property. In a given case, a salaried person can be treated as a solvent surety even without having any immovable property to his credit.

03. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 3rd February, 2026.

04. The learned A.P.P. waives notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

(Nivedita P. Mehta, J.)

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)