



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Criminal Writ Petition No.13 of 2026

Dinkar Krushna Narote

vs.

*The State of Maharashtra, through Home Department, Government of Maharashtra,
Mumbai and another*

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Ms. Ruperi Meshram h/f Mrs. Ratna Singh, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. A.J. Gohokar, A.P.P. for the Respondents/State.*

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE & NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.
DATE : 19th JANUARY, 2026.

Heard.

02. The petitioner is seeking the benefit of remission in terms of the Government Resolution dated 03/06/2017.

03. We are informed that the co-accused has been extended the said benefit. If that be so, we do not find any reason why should the petitioner not get the benefit of the Government Resolution.

04. The petition is, accordingly, allowed. The communication dated 13/11/2025 issued by the Additional Sessions Judge, Buldhana, stating that conviction under Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code is a conviction under a Central legislation and, therefore, the benefit cannot be extended, is apparently erroneous. The said communication is, accordingly, quashed and set aside. The respondents shall extend the benefit to the petitioner in terms of the Government Resolution of 2017, if otherwise there is no other legal impediment. With the aforesaid directions, the petition is disposed of.

(Nivedita P. Mehta, J.)

(Anil L. Pansare, J.)