



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 68 OF 2026

AND

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 64 OF 2026

MADAN PUNDLIK INGLE

VS

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR.

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Shri U.V. Chakravarty, Advocate for appellant in Cri.Appeal 68/2026.
Shri S.A.Kanetkar, Advocate for appellant in Cri.Appeal 64/2026.
Shri B.M.Lonare, APP for respondent/State.

CORAM : NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.

DATE : 12/02/2026

It is submitted by the learned Advocate for the Appellant(s) that, two Appeals came to be filed by the different Advocates under Section 14-A of the Scheduled Castes and the Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 (for short, 'SC & ST Act') against the same order passed by the learned Sessions Judge, rejecting the Application for Anticipatory Bail. He submits that, during the pendency of the Appeals, the charge-sheet has been filed and therefore, the Appellant(s) wish to approach the learned Sessions Judge by withdrawing these Appeals.

2. Learned APP for the State is present.

3. In view of above submissions, the Appeal(s) stand(s) **disposed of** as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.)