



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

CIVIL APPLICATION (CAF) NO. 1282/2026

IN

FIRST APPEAL NO. 1220/2013 (D)

Mr. Trilochansing s/o. Milaramji Arora

Vs.

Premdas s/o. Domaji Jivane and Ors.

.....
Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's order

.....
Mr. D. P. Bhongade, Advocate for Respondent Nos.1 and 3..
Mr. M. R. Johrapurkar, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

CORAM : NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J.

DATED : 11.03.2026

1. This is an Application for apportionment of the compensation amount among the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 as the Respondent No.2 is no more.

2. Undisputedly, the Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 had preferred the Application for compensation and the same was allowed. The vehicle owner had preferred the First Appeal No.1220/2013, which came to be partly allowed and the liability was fastened on the Insurance Company. The only question is of apportionment between the surviving Respondents/Claimants.

3. In the Application, which is supported by the affidavit, it is stated that, the Respondent Nos.1 and 3 are the remaining legal representatives of the deceased Galfas Premdas Jivne. The copy of the Death Certificate showing the name of the Respondent No.2 as the deceased is enclosed with the Application. Hence, the Application is allowed in terms of prayer clause – a.

4. The amount be distributed equally among the surviving Claimants.
5. The Application stands disposed of accordingly.

(NEERAJ P. DHOTE, J)