



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO. 3884 OF 2026

ASMA MAHILA BAHUUDSHIYA SHIKSHAN
SANSTHA, THR. PRESIDENT, ASMA KAZI AND ANR.

Vs.

STATE OF MAHA., THR. SECRETARY, SOCIAL
JUSTICE AND SPECIAL ASSISTANCE AND ORS.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. F. T. Mirza, Senior Advocate a/b Mr. Shaad F. Mirza, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. H. D. Marathe, AGP for the Respondent-State.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE : 6th MAY, 2026.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. The contention is that the petitioner No.1- Management is running the petitioner No.2- School.
3. The aforesaid petition No.2 is a residential school for specially abled students. The sanctioned intake capacity of the said school at the time of grant was 30 students. However, in view of the growing demand, petitioner No.1 submitted a proposal seeking enhancement of intake capacity to accommodate students of petitioner No.2. In view of such proposal submitted by the petitioner, the respondent No.1 directed the respondent No.3 to conduct the inspection and submit the report.
4. In compliance of the aforesaid directions, respondent No.3 has submitted the inspection report to respondent No.1 on

24.03.2025.

5. Shri Mirza, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent no.2 has already issued the list of the schools, who had submitted proposal, in which the name of petitioner No.2- School is already included. Respondent No.2 further directed to respondent No.3 to submit it for scrutiny. However, till date no such decision has been taken on the basis of proposal submitted by the petitioners and hence, the petitioners have approached this Court seeking direction to respondent No.1 to grant approval to increase the intake capacity by 20 students in the petitioner No.2- School.

6. Since the aforesaid issue is already sub judice before respondent No.1 and necessary inspection is already conducted and scrutiny is to be conducted by respondent No.1, we dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the aforesaid proposal which is already forwarded by the Deputy Commissioner, Pune vide communicated dated 11.11.2025 (at record page No.56 Annexure-G) within a stipulated period of four weeks from the date of production of order of this Court.

7. The decision taken thereupon shall be communicated to the petitioners within a period of two weeks from the date of such decision.

8. Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)