



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION No.2860 OF 2026

1. Mr. Shalikram Fogal Yetare,
Aged about 57, Occ: Teacher,
R/o Ward No.3, Panchauk
Near Kali Mandir, Amgaon,
Gondia- 441 902.
 2. Mrs. Prajakta Prahlhad Randive @ Ku. Indu
Laxmanrao Bhadade,
Aged about 57 years, Occ: Teacher,
R/o Ward no.3, Om Niwas,
Behind Sai Sharanam Apts.,
Shiv Nagar, Gondia-441 601.
 3. Mrs. Bharti Dinesh Tidke,
Aged about 51 years, Occ: Teacher,
R/o. Near Ram Nagar, Muncipal School,
Gondia-441 614.
 4. Mr. Bharskar Hiranman Nagpure,
Aged about 49, Occu : Teacher,
R/o. C/o. 3302, Patel ward, Sakoli,
Laawri Umri, Bhandara-441 802.
 5. Mr. Homraj Sukram Bisen,
Aged about 52, Occ: Teacher,
R/o Dawdipar, P.O Dawdipar,
Goregaon, Gondia -441 614.
- : PETITIONERS

...VERSUS...

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through Its Secretary,
Department of Education,
Mantralaya Mumbai,
Madam Cama Road,
Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 032.

2. The Secretary,
CTET Office at Sector-23, Phase-1,
Dwarka, New Delhi-110 077.
3. The Regional Deputy Director Of Education,
Nagpur Office at Balbharati Building,
Near NMC Garden, Dhantoli, Nagpur-440 012.
4. The Chief Executive Officer,
Zilha Parishad, Gondia,
Office at Administrative Building,
Zilla Parishad Gondia, Maharashtra 441 601.
5. The Education Department,
Through Education Officer (Primary),
Zilha Parishad, Gondia,
Office at Zilla Parishad Gondia,
Near District Court,
Gondia-441 601

: RESPONDENTS

=====

Ms. Gauri H. Gharsele, Advocate for Petitioners.

Mr. H.D. Futane, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent Nos.1
and 3.

=====

CORAM : **SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR AND**
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : **08th APRIL, 2026.**

ORAL JUDGMENT : (Per : NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

1. Issue notice to the respondents.
2. Mr. H.D. Futane, learned A.G.P. waives service of
notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 and 3.
3. In view of the order we are passing, notice to the
remaining respondents is dispensed with.

4. Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally with the consent of parties.

5. The present petition challenges action of the respondent No.5 in publishing provisional seniority list on 28.2.2026 of Teachers, who have passed Teacher Eligibility Test (TET) and Central Teacher Eligibility Test (CTET).

6. It is the contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners that without waiting for the result of CTET held on February 2026 a provisional seniority list was published wherein the name of the petitioners did not find the place in it. It is further contended that the petitioner took objection promptly on 9.3.2026 and further on 20.3.2026 regarding the provisional seniority list. It is her further contention that at present and after filing of the petition all the petitioners have cleared CTET examination. She further states that as on date final seniority list is not published.

7. In that view of the matter, in our considered opinion the petition can be disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to make a fresh representation to the respondent No.4, who is supposed to publish final seniority list. The representation would obviously contain the fact that the petitioners have cleared the CTET examination.

8. We further direct the respondent No.4 to consider the said representation in view of the said fact of the petitioners clearing the said examination and in accordance with all provisions of law in that regard.

9. The said representation to be made till 10th April, 2026 and the respondent No.4 is further directed to take a decision on the said representation as aforesaid till 30th April, 2026.

10. With a direction writ petition is disposed of.

11. Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR, J.)