



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 2584 OF 2026

Mahesh S/o. Shankarlal Sharma

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra thr. its Principal Secretary & Ors.

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Ms. Sonal R. Badge, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Ms. S.S. Jachak, Addl. G.P. for Respondent Nos.1 to 3/State.

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE : 01.04.2026

Heard Ms. Sonal R. Badge, learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner points out the order dated 19.11.2025 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No. 7087/2025. The aforesaid writ petition was filed by the present petitioner in person; however, since the prayers made therein were vague and not supported by necessary pleadings, this Court, vide order dated 19.11.2025, dismissed the said writ petition with liberty to file afresh.

3. In pursuance of the liberty granted by this Court on 19.11.2025, the petitioner has approached this Court by way of the present writ petition. Learned counsel for the petitioner invites our attention to the proposal dated 18.10.2011 submitted by respondent No.2 – Collector, Nagpur to respondent No.1 – State of Maharashtra for allotment of Plot No.220 of Sindhi Refugee Colony, Khamla, Nagpur in the name of the petitioner.

4. The petitioner, on oath, has stated that he is in possession of the aforesaid plot since the year 1984 and is ready to comply with the necessary requirements for grant of such allotment. However, the said proposal dated 18.10.2011, which is at record page No.37 (Annexure-IX), is pending with respondent No.1 for almost 15 years.

5. Considering the age of the petitioner, who is a senior citizen, and the prolonged pendency of the aforesaid proposal, we dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to respondent No.1 – State of Maharashtra to decide the aforesaid proposal dated 18.10.2011 (Annexure-IX), if not already decided, within a period of eight weeks from the date of production of this order.

6. The decision so taken shall be communicated to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of such decision.

7. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. No costs.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)

Vijaykumar