



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION 1377 of 2026

Risod Taluka Shetki Sahakari Kharedi Vikri va Prakriya Sanstha, Risod, Washim,
through its Manager-Waman Sampat More

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and others.

Mr. J. J. Chandurkar, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. S. M. Ukey, Addl.G.P. for respondent nos. 1 to 4.

CORAM :- ANIL S. KILOR and RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE :- 20th FEBRUARY, 2026.

P C.

Heard Shri Jaydeep Chandurkar, the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. The petitioner-Society is in occupation of Plot Nos.143 and 144, Survey No. 3/1 Mouze- Risod, District Washim. The petitioner, in view of the directions issued by the Hon'ble Minister vide order dated 05.03.2011 regarding regularization of the encroachment of the Society by imposing costs, as per Clause 1(3) of the Government Resolution dated 04.04.2002, had approached the respondent No.2-Collector, Washim, by submitting a proposal for regularization of its encroachment. The said proposal was submitted on 13.12.2017. Upon directions of the respondent No.2-Collector, Washim, the respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Risod, has submitted revised valuation report on 18.03.2020 wherein valuation of the aforesaid land was calculated at Rs.39,28,103/- and the same was required for regularization as per Sections 51 and 52 of the Maharashtra Land Revenue (Disposal of Government Land) Rules, 1971 under the Maharashtra Land Revenue Code, 1966. The revised valuation report submitted by the respondent No.4-Tahsildar is at record page No.34-Annexure-G.

3. The contention is that the petitioner-Society is ready to deposit the aforesaid amount as calculated by the respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Risod. However, even after passage of almost six years, the respondent No.2-Collector has not proceeded in the matter and the proposal for regularization of the encroachment made by the petitioner in the year 2017 is still pending. The petitioner-Society has also submitted a representation to the respondent No.2 for early decision on the aforesaid proposal with an undertaking that the petitioner-Society is ready to deposit the aforesaid amount. The aforesaid representation dated 11.09.2025 is at record page Nos.42-45 (Annexure-H). However, the respondent No.2 in spite of aforesaid representation, has done nothing in the matter. Hence this writ petition.

4. Considering the aforesaid facts, we dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the respondent No.2-Collector, Washim, to decide the proposal submitted by the petitioner-Society for regularization of its encroachment on Plot Nos. 143 and 144, admeasuring 0.56 HR, relating to which the revised valuation report was submitted by the respondent No.4-Tahsildar, Risod, on 18.03.2020, which is at record page No.34-Annexure-G, within a stipulated period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

The petitioner undertakes to produce copy of this order to the respondent No.2 within a period of one week from today.

Decision taken thereupon shall be communicated to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from date of such decision.

5. No order as to costs.

(Raj D. Wakode, J.)

(Anil S. Kilor, J.)