



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (APL) NO. 912 OF 2025

SHRI VIKRAM ANURAG SINGH AND OTHERS

Vrs.

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri R. R. Ramteke, Advocate for applicants.
Shri N. B. Jawade, APP for non-applicant No.1-State.
Shri S. Ramaswamy, Advocate for non-applicant No.2.

CORAM: URMILA JOSHI-PHALKE, J.

DATE : 17/02/2026.

1. By this application, the applicants are seeking quashing of the FIR in connection with Crime No.854/2024.
2. During the pendency of this application, the parties have arrived at a settlement.
3. The parties have already settled their dispute amicably between them and now, they have decided to obtain decree of dissolution of marriage by mutual consent.
4. The parties are present before the Court. The contents of the settlement i.e. affidavit filed by the non-applicant No.2 as well as the contentions of the applicants were verified by me from the present applicants as well as non-applicant No.2. They have agreed and accepted for the same.

5. In view of decision of the Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of **Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, reported in 2012(10) SCC 303**, wherein the Hon'ble Apex Court has observed that where the High Court quashes a criminal proceeding having regard to the fact that the dispute between the offender and the victim has been settled, although the offences are not compoundable, it does so as in its opinion, continuation of criminal proceedings will be an exercise in futility and justice in the case demands that the dispute between the parties is put to an end and peace is restored, securing the ends of justice being the ultimate guiding factor. In this regard, a specific reference was made to the offences arising out of matrimony, particularly relating to dowry, etc. or a family dispute, where the wrong is basically to the victim but the offender and the victim have settled all disputes between them amicably, irrespective of the fact that such offences have not been made compoundable.

6. At the same time, the Investigating Agency has spared their valuable time in investigating the matter as well as the Courts have also spared their time in conducting the matters. The applicants and non-applicant No.2 have decided to leave apart from each other. Therefore, application deserves to be allowed subject to the costs.

7. In view of that, I proceed to pass following order:-

ORDER

- i] Application is allowed.
- ii] The FIR in connection with Crime No.854/2024 registered under Sections 115(2), 3(5), 351(2), 352 and 85 of BNNS, 2023 is hereby quashed and set aside subject to costs of Rs.20,000/- by the applicants and Rs.10,000/- by the non-applicant No.2. The costs be deposited in the 'Public Welfare Account' bearing No. 129712010001014, IFSC Code No. UBINO812978, Union Bank of India, High Court Branch, Civil Lines, Nagpur.
- iii] The order will come into effect after depositing the costs.
- iv] The application is disposed of.

[JUDGE]*Choulwar*