



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 991 OF 2025

(Swati Kirankumar Morey and ors Vs. State, thr PSO, PS Telhara, Dist. Akola)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Mr. P.R. Agrawal, Advocate for applicants.
Mr. N.R. Rode, APP for non-applicant/State.
Mr. A.J. Topale, Advocate to assist the prosecution.

CORAM : M.W. CHANDWANI, J.

DATE : 16.02.2026.

Heard.

2. The applicants are apprehending arrest in connection with Crime No. 357/2025, registered with Police Station Telhara, District Akola, for the offence punishable under Sections 108 read with 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Heard the learned counsel for applicants, learned APP for the non-applicant /State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant.

4. Perusal of the case diary reveals that the husband of applicant No. 1 namely, Kiran More committed suicide by consuming poison on 22.11.2025. Deceased Kiran left a suicide note and also recorded a video informing that he is committing suicide. Perusal of the suicide the note and the panchanama of that video recording reveals that deceased Kiran has blamed applicant Nos. 2 and 3 who are his father-in-law and mother in law, who used to take away his wife i.e. applicant No.1 and

applicant No. 1 used to stay at her maternal house, leaving the deceased alone. A similar type of allegation has also been levelled by the deceased against the applicants in the video recorded by him before consuming poison. Thus, the deceased has committed suicide just because the other applicants used to take away his wife to her maternal house. The statements also reveal that the deceased also sought apology from the applicants but still applicant Nos. 2 to 4 did not allow him to take applicant No.1 back with him to his matrimonial house.

5. The suicide note as well as the mobile phone of the deceased has already been seized. So far as the submission of the learned APP that the mobile phone of applicant No.1 is required to be seized is concerned, since, the mobile phone of the deceased from which the deceased had sent the recorded video to applicant No.1 has already been seized and panchanama of the video recording has already been done before the panchas, therefore, seizure of the mobile of the applicant No. 1 would hardly help the prosecution. At the time of granting ad interim relief, the applicants were directed to attend the concerned police station. Accordingly, the applicants have attended the police station and cooperated with the investigating agency. Nothing more is required to be seized from any of the applicants. Whether act of the applicants would amount to abetment is a matter of trial.

6. In view of the above as well as the nature of allegations against the applicants, prima facie, a case is made out for confirmation of the interim order.

7. Hence, the ad interim order dated 24.12.2025 is hereby

confirmed except condition (iv) of the order. The applicants shall attend the concerned police station as and when directed by the Investigating Officer.

JUDGE

Belkhede