



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO.867 OF 2025

Abdul Wajid Abdul Majid Vs. State of Maharashtra and another

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's Orders.

Court's or Judge's orders.

Shri Md Amin Ayyub, Advocate for applicant.
Shri V.A. Thakare, APP for non-applicant no.1/State.
Ms Varsha Warade, Advocate appointed for non-applicant no.2.

CORAM : M.W. CHANDWANI, J.

DATE : 06.01.2026.

1. The applicant apprehends his arrest in connection with Crime No.774/2025 registered with Police Station, Ballarshah, District Chandrapur for the offence punishable under Sections 74, 75(2), 78, 127(2) and 351(2) of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the informant is a consenting party. She on her own had accompanied the applicant in the car; she did not raise any alarm, which according to the learned counsel for the applicant; go to show that she was willing to accompany the applicant. According to him, under the directions of this Court, the applicant remained present before the concerned police station and cooperated with the investigating officer.

3. Learned APP as well as the learned counsel appointed for the victim opposed the application on the ground that the victim/informant is a married lady and has a 7 year old

child. The victim was acquainted with the applicant prior to her marriage. The applicant used to threaten her with the photographs which he had snapped prior to marriage by saying that he would make them viral on the internet. On that count, he used to blackmail the victim and tried to outrage her modesty.

4. Having heard the learned counsel for the respective parties and having gone through the case diary, it appears that there are allegations that the applicant blackmailed the victim. On 01.10.2025, he took the victim/informant alongwith him in his car. After locking the doors of his car, when he went to purchase liquor, the victim managed to escape from the vehicle and informed the incident to her husband. Accordingly, the aforesaid offence came to be lodged.

5. It appears that the statement of the victim came to be recorded before the Magistrate wherein, there is no whisper of blackmail by the applicant on the count of making the photographs viral on the internet. Rather, she came up with a case that the applicant threatened her by saying that he will record her MMS. That apart, there are WhatsApp chats between the applicant and the victim which prima facie suggest that there is no forceful act on the part of the present applicant. It appears that the applicant appeared before the concerned police station and cooperated in the investigation.

6. Considering the nature of allegations, material against the present applicant, more particularly the statement against the applicant recorded before the Magistrate which demonstrates major contradiction, a case is made out for grant of anticipatory bail. Hence, the following order:

7. The ad interim protection granted on 18.11.2025 is hereby confirmed.

8. Since, most part of the investigation has already been completed, the applicant need not attend the concerned police station in the present crime.

9. Professional fees of the learned counsel appointed for the non-applicant no.2/victim be quantified and paid as per the relevant Rules.

10. With this, the application stands disposed of.

(M.W. Chandwani, J.)

Wagh