



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION (ABA) NO. 678 OF 2025

Kapil Moreshwar Mankar

Vs.

State of Maharashtra

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's Orders
or directions and Registrar's order

Court's or Judge's Order

Shri Dhruv Sirpurakr, Advocate for applicant.
Ms. M. A. Kavimandan, APP for non-applicant/State.
Shri Surendra M. Prasad, Advocate for assist to prosecution.

CORAM :- M. W. CHANDWANI, J.

DATED :- 12.02.2026

Heard.

2. The applicant seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Crime No. 312/2025 registered with Police Station, Hingna City, Dist. Nagpur for the offence punishable under Sections 316(4), 336(3) and 338 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned APP and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant.

4. Perusal of the case-diary reveals that the applicant was working as a Group Director/Principal with Meghsai Private Industrial Training Institute, Dongargaon, Tah. Hingna, Dist. Nagpur. In October-2024 after examinations were over, when the complainant/

Secretary of the Institute tried to see how much amount of fees is outstanding towards the students, he found that large number of students had not paid the fees. When those students were contacted, they informed that they have paid the entire fees to the applicant. Thus, the allegation against the applicant is that after taking the fees from the students, he did not deposit the same in the bank account of the Institute. Rather, he deposited the amount in his own bank account.

5. The case-diary reveals that the applicant has admitted that an amount of Rs.40 lakhs and some odd amount is outstanding towards him, which he collected towards the fees from the students. Thus, there is *prima facie* involvement of the applicant in the crime.

6. So far as, the custodial interrogation of the applicant is concerned, admittedly all the documents such as ledger/receipts etc. are with the Institute/ Investigating Officer (IO) and nothing is required to be seized from the applicant. Therefore, in my view, custodial interrogation of the applicant may not be required. The applicant under the dictum of this Court, has attended the concerned Police Station and co-operated in the investigation.

7. It is also brought to the knowledge of the Court that the bank account of the applicant has also been frozen. The IO has issued notice to the applicant under Section 35(3) of the Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha

Sanhita, 2023. Considering these aspects, a case is made out for confirmation of the *ad-interim* protection granted to the applicant vide order dated 10.09.2025. Hence, this order:-

- i) The *ad-interim* protection granted to the applicant vide order dated 10.09.2025 is hereby confirmed except condition (iv) mentioned in the order.
- ii) The applicant shall appear before the IO as and when directed and shall co-operate in the investigation.
- iii) The application is disposed of.

(M. W. CHANDWANI, J.)