



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 899 OF 2025

[Shri Gajanan S/o Laxman Khapre **vs.** The Commissioner of Police, Nagpur City, Nagpur
and ors.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda
of Coram, Appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. A. K. Waghmare, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. K. R. Lule, A.P.P for the State/respondent nos. 1 and 2
Mr. P M. Pande, Advocate for respondent nos. 3 and 4

CORAM : **ANIL L. PANSARE AND**
NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.

DATE : **10-02-2026.**

We have heard the petitioner for some time and upon queries made, we did not receive satisfactory answers. One of the queries was in respect of prayers made by the petitioner. In the first prayer, the petitioner is seeking to quash intimation letters dated 30-8-2025 and 24-10-2025 which are clubbed together as Annexure-G. Accordingly, we enquired with the counsel for the petitioner as to how can two documents be clubbed as one annexure, the answer is both the documents are issued by the Police Department, which works under the Commissionerate of Police and, therefore, documents are annexed as one annexure.

2. Another issue is while issuing notice, we have recorded submissions of petitioner that in response to the notice under question issued by respondents, he

2

appeared before respondent no. 2, who refused to accept his statement.

3. The respondent no. 2 has filed reply stating that petitioner's statement was recorded wherein he made contrary submissions as regards the transaction between him and respondent nos. 3 and 4. Accordingly, we made a query as to whether he has acted in terms of notice under question, to which we did not get any satisfactory answer nor has petitioner filed rejoinder to dispute the statement made by respondent no. 2 on oath that petitioner's statement is recorded.

4. Thus, on one hand, petitioner has challenged the notice issued to him by the respondent no. 2 calling upon him to remain present and on the other hand, he appeared before respondent no. 2, whereupon his statement is recorded. In the circumstance, we were to dismiss the petition, the counsel for petitioner, however, submits that he has been not heard and order should not be passed. Such conduct is not befitting the profession. Nonetheless, if such is the impression of the petitioner's counsel, he shall submit before us written notes of argument, which he shall submit by 12-2-2026.

5. After passing order, the counsel for petitioner is questioning the Court as to how can his conduct be recorded without giving him opportunity of hearing, we have instructed him to maintain decorum.

3

6. Stand over to 12-2-2026 for filing written notes of argument.

(JUDGE)

(JUDGE.)

wasnik