



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 7561/2025**

1. Rajashri Shikshan Sanstha,  
Lakhani, Tah- Lakhani, Dist-  
Bhandara, through its Secretary.
2. Adivasi Vikas Rajashri Madhyamik  
Vidyalaya, Kadikasa, Tah- Deori, Dist-  
Gondia, through its Headmaster.
3. Ku. Priyanka Maroti Dharmik.  
(Now Smt. Priyanka Parag Pudake)  
Aged- 32 Years, Occu- Service.  
R/O. C/O. Adivasi Vikas Rajashri  
Madhyamik Vidyalaya, Kadikasa,  
Tah- Deori, Dist- Gondia.

... **PETITIONERS**

**...VERSUS...**

1. Deputy Director of Education,  
Nagpur Division, Nagpur.
2. The Education Officer (Sec.),  
Zilla Parishad, Gondia.

...**RESPONDENTS**

---

Mr. P. N. Shende, Advocate for petitioner  
Mr. H. D. Futane, AGP for respondent /State

---

**CORAM : SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR AND**  
**NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.**

**JUDGMENT DATED : 20<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2026.**

**ORAL JUDGMENT (PER : NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)**

1. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith. Heard finally with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties.
2. Learned AGP waives notice for respondent Nos. 1 and 2/State.
3. The present petition seeks a direction to respondent No. 2, Zilla Parishad, Gondia, to forthwith take a decision on the proposal dated 07.08.2025 and to submit the proposal of petitioner No. 3 to respondent No. 1 for grant of Shalarth I.D.
4. On 25.03.2025, petitioner No. 3 was appointed, by following due process of law, as a Junior Clerk on probation for a period of three years and after obtaining prior permission of the Education Department. It is the contention of the petitioners that petitioner No. 2 submitted a proposal to respondent No. 2 for grant of approval on 07.08.2025. It is his grievance that no action has been

taken on the said proposal, inspite of the fact that a reminder was sent on 14.10.2025 reiterating the request.

5. In our view, therefore, if a direction is given to respondent No. 2 to decide upon the said proposal submitted by the petitioners on 07.08.2025, that would suffice the purpose and ventilate the grievance of the petitioner. We, therefore, direct respondent No. 2 to decide the proposal submitted by the petitioners on 07.08.2025 in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible and within three months from the date of this order.

6. Petition is disposed of as such.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR, J.)

*Shubham*