



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

WRIT PETITION No.2346 OF 2025

Ku. Noorjahan Maleka Miyan Khan
@ Noorjahan Maleka W/o. Rafique
Ahemad Khan, Aged 56 years,
Occ.: Sub. Teacher,
R/o. C/o. Sir Sayyad Ahmad Khan
Nagar Parishad Uppar Primary School
Murtizapur, Distt. Akola.

: PETITIONER

...VERSUS...

1. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
Urban Development Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
2. The State of Maharashtra,
Through its Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, Mumbai-32.
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Amravati Division, Amravati.
4. The Municipal Council, Murtijapur,
through its Chief Officer.
Distt. Akola.

: RESPONDENTS

=====

Mr. P.S. Kshirsagar, Advocate for Petitioner.
Mrs. Hemlata S. Dhande , Assistant Government Pleader for
Respondent Nos.1 to 3.
Mr. P.P. Deshmukh, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

=====

CORAM : SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR AND
NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, JJ.

DATE : 07th JANUARY, 2026.

ORAL JUDGMENT : (Per : NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

1. Rule. Rule is made returnable forthwith.
2. Heard finally with the consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties.
3. The parties are at *ad idem* that vide order dated 8.2.2017 in Writ Petition No.1404/2007, while disposing of the Writ Petition, co-ordinate Bench of this Court directed the concerned petitioner herein to make a representation to the State Government and directed the State Government to decide said representation within six months from the date of receipt thereof. It seems that the representation was accordingly made on 5.6.2017. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at present his grievance would be ventilated if the representation is directed to be decided on merits and within a particular time-frame.
4. We, therefore, dispose of the petition with a direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 5.6.2017 positively within a period of four weeks from the date of this order.
5. Learned A.G.P. is directed to communicate the order to the concerned respondent forthwith to act in accordance with law

since the earlier order of this Court dated 8.2.2017 which direct the authority to decide the representation within six months has prima facie not being complied with.

6. The petitioner is at liberty to submit such additional documents in his opinion would be helpful in pursuance to his cause. All contentions of the parties are kept open.

7. Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

(NANDESH S. DESHPANDE, J.)

(SMT. M.S. JAWALKAR, J.)