



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR

**WRIT PETITION NO. 215 OF 2026**

Subodh Jiten Meshram and ors.

Vs.

State of Maharashtra, Thru. Its Deputy Secretary, Mumbai and ors.

-----  
Office notes, Office Memoranda of  
Coram, appearances, Court's orders  
or directions and Registrar's orders.

Court's or Judge's Orders.  
-----

Ms. Shiba Thakur, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. D.V. Chauhan, GP a/by Ms. T.H. Khan, AGP for  
respondent Nos.1 & 3/State.

**CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.**

**DATE : 12.01.2026**

The perusal of the prayer clause (A) reveals that such prayer clause is completely unsustainable in the eyes of law and cannot be entertained. On earlier occasion, similar petitions with such prayer moved by same counsel are allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to avail the appropriate remedy as available in law.

2. Accordingly, the present writ petition is also disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the appropriate remedy as available in law.

(Raj D. Wakode, J.)

(Anil S. Kilor, J.)