



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH AT NAGPUR

MISC. CIVIL APPLICATION [ARBN] NO.437 OF 2025

[Rohit Chandrakant Choubey and one .vs. The Chairman, Tata Motors Finance Limited and others]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

CORAM : ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.
DATE : APRIL 29, 2026.

1. None for the applicant even on second call.
2. Mr. Nikhil Lapalikar, learned counsel for the respondents informed that the respondent has filed an application for appointment of Arbitrator in relation to the same agreement before the Principal Seat at Bombay. He also informs that an application under Section 9 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act seeking interim measure is also filed at Bombay. He points out Clauses 14 and 15 of the Agreement and contends that the place of arbitration is at Mumbai and that the parties have restricted jurisdiction of courts at Mumbai for adjudication of dispute arising out of the agreement. His contention is that in view of Clause 14, the seat of arbitration is at Mumbai and, therefore, application ought to have been filed at Mumbai.
3. In order to afford one opportunity to the applicant, stand over to **7.5.2026**.

(ROHIT W. JOSHI, J.)