



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR

Contempt Petition No.318 of 2025
in
Writ Petition No.7050 of 2024 (D)

Madan s/o Akant Matey

vs.

*Hon'ble Minister, State of Maharashtra, through its Secretary,
Department of Urban Development, Mumbai and others*

*Office notes, Office Memoranda of
Coram, appearances, Court's orders
or directions and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's Orders

*Mr. S.G. Jagtap, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. A.B. Badar, A.G.P. for Respondent No.1.
Mr. G.A. Kunte, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 4.*

CORAM : ANIL L. PANSARE & NIVEDITA P. MEHTA, JJ.
DATE : 22nd JANUARY, 2026.

In view of the subsequent development, since the decision is taken in terms of order under question, though belatedly, we grant liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy, as is available in law, against the order so passed. Thus, no fruitful purpose will be served by pursuing the contempt jurisdiction. The contempt petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

**sandesh* (Nivedita P. Mehta, J.) (Anil L. Pansare, J.)