



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.

WRIT PETITION NO.1695 OF 2024

ANAND UDAYNARAYAN SINGH

VS

THE NAGPUR METRO REGION DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY, NAGPUR THR.
THE METROPOLITAN COMMISSIONER

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders or directions
and Registrar's orders

Court's or Judge's orders

Mr. Abdul Subhan, Advocate for the petitioner/s
Mr. K.P. Mahalle, Advocate for the respondent

CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE : 08.01.2026

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned counsel for the respondent.
2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has approached this Court seeking challenge to the impugned notice dated 15.05.2018 issued by the respondent-sole, being contrary to the provision of the Section 53(1A) of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act, 1966 (for short 'the MRTP Act, 1966'). However, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after filling of the petition, the respondent is taking up the matter for regularization of the said construction.
3. The petitioner had applied to the respondent for regularization of his construction and accordingly, he has deposited the construction charges for compounding under the MRTP Act, 1966 on 13.06.2018 and thus, the said

regularization is under consideration.

4. In view of the above, since nothing survives in the present petition, the petition is **disposed of** upon the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to approach this Court, if such occasion arises.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)