



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH : NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO. 1761 of 2024

Pratiksha Devidas Javade

vs

The State of Maharashtra, Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Mumbai and
others

Mr. S. S.Sanyal, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. H. D. Marathe, AGP for respondent nos.1, 2 and 4.

CORAM :- ANIL S. KILOR and RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.

DATE :- 17th JANUARY, 2026.

Heard Shri S. S.Sanyal, the learned counsel for the petitioner and
Mr. H. D. Marathe, learned Assistant Government Pleader for the
respondent nos. 1, 2 and 4.

2. **Rule.** Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally with the
consent of learned counsel appearing for the parties.

3. The petitioner has approached this Court for seeking challenge to
the impugned order dated 11.10.2023 issued by the respondent no.2-
Tahsildar, Taluka Chamorshi, District Gadchiroli thereby cancelling the
selection of the petitioner on the post of 'Kotwal'. The petitioner has
also sought relief of cancellation of the appointment of the respondent
no.3 on the post of 'Kotwal'.

4. The respondent no.2 Tahsildar on 31.07.2023 issued
advertisement thereby calling applications for appointment on the post
of 'Kotwal' for Saza No.27-Waigaon. The said post was reserved for
OBC (Woman) category. Pursuant to the said advertisement the
petitioner had submitted her application for the post of 'Kotwal', which
is at record page No.25 -Annexure-II.

5. Perusal of the application shows that in the Column No. 12 which is pertaining to marital status, the petitioner has mentioned that she is unmarried. So also, in the Column No.5 pertaining to her permanent residence, she has mentioned as R/o. Chandeshwar.

6. On the basis of the advertisement, the recruitment process was conducted and the selection list was published on 20.08.2023 wherein the petitioner was shown as selected candidate at Sr. No.1. During the verification of her documents, it was noticed that she filled up false information regarding her place of residence as well as her marital status. When the enquiry was conducted by the respondent no.2-Tahsildar the petitioner had admitted the fact that she was married to one Yogesh Haridas Deshmukh R/o. Porla, Tq. and District Gadchiroli on 23.03.2022.

7. Thus, the petitioner, has submitted false information in the application form and same is duly admitted by her. Thus, the petitioner has violated Condition No.5.9 of the advertisement, which clearly specifies that the submission of false information would result in cancellation of the selection of the candidate. Accordingly, the respondent no. 2-Tahsildar had cancelled the selection of the petitioner on the post of 'Kotwal' vide impugned order dated 11.10.2023.

8. The learned counsel for the petitioner could not point out any perversity or erroneous finding at the behest of the respondent no.2 in the impugned order dated 11.10.2023. Accordingly, there is no merit in the present writ petition. Hence the writ petition is dismissed.

9. Rule stands discharged. No order as to costs.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.)

(ANIL S. KILOR, J.)