



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
NAGPUR BENCH, NAGPUR.**

WRIT PETITION NO.3511 OF 2023

[Dr. Vivek S/o. Shridharrao Deshmukh **..Vs..** Sant Gadge Baba Amravati
University through its Registrar and Others]

*Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearances, Court's orders of directions
and Registrar's orders.*

Court's or Judge's order

Shri. C. S. Kaptan, Senior Advocate a/b Shri. R. S. Kalangiwale, Advocate for
Petitioner.
Shri. P. Saliokar, Adv. h/f Shri. U. J. Deshpande, Advocate for Respondent No.1.
Shri. U. Dastane, Advocate for Respondent No.2.
Smt. G. Venkatraman, Advocate for Respondent No.4.

**CORAM : ANIL S. KILOR AND
RAJ D. WAKODE, JJ.**

DATE : 5th JANUARY, 2026.

. Heard.

2. Shri. C. S. Kaptan, learned Senior Counsel for petitioner, makes a statement that after the first enquiry, the matter was not taken to its logical end. However, on similar charges, a second departmental enquiry was conducted and was taken to its logical conclusion by holding the petitioner guilty. Consequently, a proposal was forwarded by the Management to the University under Statute 53 for grant of approval, which is pending.

3. It is submitted that if the University is directed to take a decision on such proposal after considering the case of both parties, the purpose would be served. It is argued that if any adverse decision is taken against the interest of the petitioner, liberty be granted to the petitioner to approach this Court afresh.

4. In that view of the matter and since the respondents are not disputing that such proposal is pending with the University,

we are of the opinion that the petition can be disposed of with a direction as suggested by the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 – University to take a decision on the proposal submitted by the Management for approval under Statute 53 at the earliest and, in any case, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of furnishing a copy of this order to respondent No.1, by the petitioner. Respondent No.1 shall communicate its decision to the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of such decision. The petitioner is granted liberty to submit a detailed reply, if he so desires, to the proposal submitted by the Management in his defence.

6. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to file a fresh petition, if such occasion arises. All points raised by both the parties are kept open.

(RAJ D. WAKODE, J.) (ANIL S. KILOR, J.)