

Before : Anil H. Laddhad,
Prothonotary & Senior Master
Date : 20th April, 2026

FOR HEARING AND FINAL DISPOSAL :

26	CHOL/284/2026	)	Ms. Krutisha Pandey i/b. Bhavin Bhatia,
	in	)	Advocate for the applicant.
	IAL/27382/2025	)	
		)	P.C.: The present Chamber Order is filed by the
		)	applicant for setting aside Order dated
		)	19.12.2025 r/w. Orders dated 02.01.2026 and
		)	16.01.2026, rejecting captioned Interim
		)	Application under O.S.Rule 986 for non-
		)	compliance of office objections.
		)	
		)	Ld. Advocate for the applicant made a
		)	statement that respondents are served with the
		)	Chamber Order and tenders Affidavit of
		)	Service, which is taken on record. Despite
		)	service, none appeared for the respondents.
		)	
		)	Heard ld. Advocate for the applicant. Perused
		)	Affidavit in Support, contents of which are
		)	self-explanatory. It is contended that in the
		)	present matter, Suit was numbered and the
		)	applicant was directed to go through the
		)	papers and attend minor office requirements.
		)	Thereafter, the applicant was under impression
		)	that Interim Application would be numbered.
		)	Then, matter was time and again listed before
		)	the Hon'ble Court. When the applicant
		)	attempted to move Interim Application before
		)	the Hon'ble Court, the applicant learnt about
		)	rejection of the same. Ld. Advocate submits
		)	that rejection of Interim Application is
		)	technical in nature and requests for restoration
		)	of the same.
		)	
		)	Grounds mentioned in Affidavit appear to be
		)	genuine and substantial justice cannot be
		)	denied on technical grounds. Further,
		)	contentions raised in Affidavit remain
		)	uncontested for want of resistance on the part
		)	of respondents. Therefore, I do not hesitate to
		)	rely on the same for the purpose of restoration

2

of

C2

cc

th

is

T

In

re

111

fr

A.

cc

99

Prothonotary and Senior Master

RAJEEV
VIJAY
ACHARYA