

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3646 OF 2025
IN
COMMERCIAL EXECUTION APPLICATION (L) NO. 4181 OF 2025**

Media Monks & Anr.

...Applicants

V/s.

Mahalasa Entertainment

...Respondent

Mr. Vaibhav Patankar, through VC with Ms. Vishwali Botle for the Applicant.

**CORAM : ABHAY AHUJA, J.
DATE : 2nd FEBRUARY, 2026**

P.C. :

1. This matter has been listed under the caption "For Circulation".
2. When the matter is called out, it is observed that the Commercial Execution Application is still on lodging number.
3. A perusal of the orders of this Court ending with order dated 15th September, 2025, indicates that the time to remove office objections and obtain registered number was extended till the next date and that, if the registered number was not obtained by the next date, the Commercial Execution Application would stand dismissed without further reference to the Court and that no further extension of time would be granted. The next date was 8th October, 2025, on which date although the matter was listed on board, due to paucity of time the

matter could be taken up and the matter was stood over to 3rd December, 2025.

4. Since the Commercial Execution Application is still on lodging number, it does not appear that the registered number to the Commercial Execution Application was obtained by 8th October, 2025. The Commercial Execution Application accordingly ought to have been shown as dismissed after that date and an appropriate application for restoration of the Commercial Execution Application ought to have been filed. Instead of that an Interim Application *inter alia* seeking disclosure and assistance in the Commercial Execution Application has been filed and circulation where of has been obtained by mentioning the matter on 6th January, 2026.

5. This Court is surprised as to why the Registry has not shown the Commercial Execution Application as dismissed in view of the order dated 15th September, 2025 and as to how it has permitted listing of this Interim Application just because circulation was granted for today without application of mind or without pointing this to the Court.

6. Since the Commercial Execution Application ought to have been shown as dismissed after 8th October, 2025, this Court is of the view

that the Interim Application in a dismissed Commercial Execution Application and that too in aid of the Commercial Execution Application that ought to have been shown as dismissed in view of the self operative order of this Court cannot lie. The Interim Application also to accordingly stand dismissed.

7. The Applicant is, however, at liberty to take out an appropriate application for restoration. Only by way of indulgence, this Court is not imposing costs on the concerned Advocate and the concerned registry official.

(ABHAY AHUJA, J.)