

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

INTERIM APPLICATION NO. 3522 OF 2025

IN

COMMERCIAL IP SUIT NO. 386 OF 2017

Dr Ashok M Bhat

...Applicant/Plaintiff

Versus

R V Pharmaceuticals & Anr.

...Defendants

Mr. Vinod Bhagat a/w Mr. Siddhant Gupta i/b Vinod A. Bhagat, for the Applicant/Plaintiff.

Mr. E. V. Subba Reddy, for Defendant No.2.

Ms. Rucha Ambekar Master (Adm.) for Court Receiver, present.

CORAM : ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.

DATE : 11th FEBRUARY, 2026

P.C.

1. The matter was stood over to today, since on the previous occasion, Mr. Bhagat, learned counsel for the Applicant/Plaintiff had informed that the Defendants have approached the Applicant with an offer to amicably resolve their disputes and differences. He submits that the Defendants did not approach the Applicant and therefore no settlement has progressed.

2. Mr. Bhagat has invited my attention to the order dated 11th November 2025, by which an interim relief had been granted to the Applicant in terms of prayer clauses (e), (g) (h) and (j). He further pointed out that the Court Receiver as also the Additional Special Receiver, carried out a raid and seized goods at the premises of the Defendants.

3. I have noted in my previous order the fact that the goods are virtually identical to the Applicant's/Plaintiff's goods. Furthermore, the Defendants, despite being represented and seeking time to file a reply affidavit, have not done so. None of the averments made in the Interim Application have, therefore, been controverted given the conduct of the Defendants.

4. In my view, Mr. Bhagat would be entitled to the grant of further reliefs in terms of prayer clause (d) and (f), which reads thus:

"(d) the Respondent No. 1 be required to disclose all their properties, assets and such properties be ordered to be attached due to the disobedience and breach of the said orders dated 19.01.2017, 09.02.2017, 28.02.2017 and 20.01.2021 passed by this Hon'ble Court;

(f) pending the hearing and final disposal of the present Interim Application, till such time the Respondent Nos. 1 and 3 show compliance of the said order dated 19.01.2017 passed by this Hon'ble Court in true letter and spirit, the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 should not be heard in Commercial IPR Suit No. 386 of 2017 or any Interim Application filed therein;"

5. Let this compliance be made within a period of two weeks from today. The Defendants, who are present in Court, have heard the order and are directed to remain present in Court on the next occasion.

6. Stand over to **25th February 2026**.

[ARIF S. DOCTOR, J.]